

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT

Eastern District of California

Honorable Ronald H. Sargis

Chief Bankruptcy Judge

Sacramento, California

May 18, 2016 at 10:00 a.m.

1. [16-20700](#)-E-13 KECIA LAWSON
Pro Se

ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE - FAILURE
TO PAY FEES
4-13-16 [[32](#)]

DEBTOR DISMISSED: 04/21/2016

Final Ruling: No appearance at the May 18, 2018 hearing is required.

The case having previously been dismissed, the Motion is dismissed as moot.

The court shall issue a minute order substantially in the following form holding that:

Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law are stated in the Civil Minutes for the hearing.

The Order to Show Cause having been presented to the court, the case having been previously dismissed, and upon review of the pleadings, evidence, arguments of counsel, and good cause appearing,

IT IS ORDERED that the Order to Show Cause is dismissed as moot, the case having been dismissed.

May 18, 2016 at 10:00 a.m.

- Page 1 of 53 -

2. [15-24401-E-13](#) CINDY GRAHAM
DPC-2 Matthew DeCaminada

MOTION TO DISMISS CASE
4-20-16 [[85](#)]

Final Ruling: No appearance at the May 18, 2016 hearing is required.

Local Rule 9014-1(f)(1) Motion - No Opposition Filed.

Correct Notice Provided. The Proof of Service states that the Motion and supporting pleadings were served on Debtor, Debtor's Attorney, and Office of the United States Trustee on April 20, 2016. By the court's calculation, 28 days' notice was provided. 28 days' notice is required.

The Motion to Dismiss has been set for hearing on the notice required by Local Bankruptcy Rule 9014-1(f)(1). The failure of the respondent and other parties in interest to file written opposition at least 14 days prior to the hearing as required by Local Bankruptcy Rule 9014-1(f)(1)(ii) is considered to be the equivalent of a statement of nonopposition. *Cf. Ghazali v. Moran*, 46 F.3d 52, 53 (9th Cir. 1995). Further, because the court will not materially alter the relief requested by the moving party, an actual hearing is unnecessary. *See Law Offices of David A. Boone v. Derham-Burk (In re Eliapo)*, 468 F.3d 592, 602 (9th Cir. 2006). Therefore, the defaults of the respondent and other parties in interest are entered. Upon review of the record there are no disputed material factual issues and the matter will be resolved without oral argument. The court will issue its ruling from the parties' pleadings.

The Motion to Dismiss is granted and the case is dismissed.

David Cusick, the Chapter 13 Trustee, filed the instant Motion to Dismiss on April 20, 2016. Dckt. 85.

The Trustee seeks dismissal of the case on the basis that the Debtor is \$8,370.00 delinquent in plan payments, which represents multiple months of the \$1,030.00 plan payment. Failure to make plan payments is unreasonable delay which is prejudicial to creditors. 11 U.S.C. § 1307(c)(1).

Unfortunately, the Debtor has not provided evidence that the delinquency has been cured. The Debtor failed to reply to the instant Motion.

Cause exists to dismiss this case. The motion is granted and the case is dismissed.

The court shall issue a minute order substantially in the following form holding that:

Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law are stated in the Civil Minutes for the hearing.

The Motion to Dismiss the Chapter 13 case filed by the Chapter 13 Trustee having been presented to the court, and upon review of the pleadings, evidence, arguments of counsel, and good cause appearing,

IT IS ORDERED that the Motion to Dismiss is granted and the case is dismissed.

3. [16-21102](#)-E-13 LARRY VINCELLI MOTION TO DISMISS CASE
DPC-2 Bonnie Baker 4-20-16 [[30](#)]

Final Ruling: No appearance at the May 18, 2016 hearing is required.

Local Rule 9014-1(f)(1) Motion - Opposition Filed.

Correct Notice Provided. The Proof of Service states that the Motion and supporting pleadings were served on Debtor, Debtor's Attorney, and Office of the United States Trustee on April 20, 2016. By the court's calculation, 28 days' notice was provided. 28 days' notice is required.

The Motion to Dismiss has been set for hearing on the notice required by Local Bankruptcy Rule 9014-1(f)(1). The Debtor filed opposition. If it appears at the hearing that disputed material factual issues remain to be resolved, a later evidentiary hearing will be set. Local Bankr. R. 9014-1(g).

The court's decision is to continue the Motion to Dismiss to 10:00 a.m. on June 22, 2016.

David Cusick, the Chapter 13 Trustee, filed the instant Motion to Dismiss on April 20, 2016. Dckt. 30. The Trustee seeks dismissal based on the Debtor's failure to commence plan payments and failure to provide a tax return.

DEBTOR'S DECLARATION

Larry Vincelli ("Debtor") filed a declaration in response on May 3, 2016. Dckt. 34. The Debtor states that on January 11, 2016, the Debtor was diagnosed with cancer. During March and April, the Debtor had to travel for work and medical reasons. On May 1, 2016, the Debtor states he was admitted into the hospital, Shasta Regional, for a heart attack and currently still a patient at the hospital.

The Debtor asserts that he has paid the first month of the plan payment on April 22, 2016.

The Debtor requests time to make up the payments and get the necessary documents in.

DISCUSSION

The Trustee argues that the Debtor did not commence making plan payments and is \$1,100.00 delinquent in plan payments. 11 U.S.C. §1307(c)(4) permits the dismissal or conversion of the case for failure to commence plan payments.

The Trustee argues that the Debtor did not provide either a tax transcript or a federal income tax return with attachments for the most recent pre-petition tax year for which a return was required. See 11 U.S.C. § 521(e)(2)(A); Fed. R. Bankr. P. 4002(b)(3). This is unreasonable delay which is prejudicial to creditors. 11 U.S.C. § 1307(c)(1).

However, in light of the Debtor's recent medical emergency and the Debtor representing that he has made the delinquent payment as well as to afford the Debtor additional time, the court will continue the instant Motion to 10:00 a.m. on June 22, 2016.

The court shall issue a minute order substantially in the following form holding that:

Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law are stated in the Civil Minutes for the hearing.

The Motion to Dismiss the Chapter 13 case filed by the Chapter 13 Trustee having been presented to the court, and upon review of the pleadings, evidence, arguments of counsel, and good cause appearing,

IT IS ORDERED that the Motion to Dismiss is continued to 10:00 a.m. on June 22, 2016.

4. [16-21305-E-13](#) RODERICK/ROSEMARIE TAPNIO MOTION TO DISMISS CASE
DPC-1 Pro Se 5-2-16 [[37](#)]

Tentative Ruling: The Motion to Dismiss was properly set for hearing on the notice required by Local Bankruptcy Rule 9014-1(f)(2). Consequently, the Debtor, Creditors, the Trustee, the U.S. Trustee, and any other parties in interest were not required to file a written response or opposition to the motion. If any of these potential respondents appear at the hearing and offers opposition to the motion, the court will set a briefing schedule and a final hearing unless there is no need to develop the record further. If no opposition is offered at the hearing, the court will take up the merits of the motion.

Oral argument may be presented by the parties at the scheduled hearing, where the parties shall address the issues identified in this tentative ruling and such other issues as are necessary and appropriate to the court's resolution of the matter.

Below is the court's tentative ruling, rendered on the assumption that there will be no opposition to the motion. If there is opposition presented, the court will consider the opposition and whether further hearing is proper pursuant to Local Bankruptcy Rule 9014-1(f)(2)(iii).

Local Rule 9014-1(f)(2) Motion.

Correct Notice Provided. The Proof of Service states that the Motion and supporting pleadings were served on Debtor (*pro se*) and Office of the United States Trustee on May 2, 2016. By the court's calculation, 16 days' notice was provided. 14 days' notice is required.

The Motion to Dismiss was properly set for hearing on the notice required by Local Bankruptcy Rule 9014-1(f)(2). The Debtor, Creditors, the Trustee, the U.S. Trustee, and any other parties in interest were not required to file a written response or opposition to the motion. At the hearing -----
-----.

The court's decision is to grant the Motion to Dismiss and dismiss the case.

David Cusick, the Chapter 13 Trustee, filed the instant Motion to Dismiss on May 2, 2016. Dckt. 37.

The Trustee asserts that the Debtor did not properly serve the Plan on all interested parties and has yet to file a motion to confirm the Plan. The Plan was filed after the notice of the Meeting of Creditors was issued. Therefore, the Debtor must file a motion to confirm the Plan. See Local Bankr. R. 3015-1(c)(3). A review of the docket shows that no such motion has been filed. This is unreasonable delay which is prejudicial to creditors. 11 U.S.C. §1307(c)(1).

The Trustee also asserts that the Debtor failed to use the proper

Chapter 13 Plan Form. The Trustee states that Local Bankr. R. 3015-1(a) states that the mandatory form plan EDC 3-080 shall be utilized as the standard form. Unfortunately, the Debtor is using an outdated form, rather than the current Form EDC 3-080-10. This is cause to dismiss the case.

Lastly, the Trustee argues that the Debtor cannot afford the plan payments, as required by 11 U.S.C. § 1325(a)(6). Debtor's Schedule J indicates a negative net income of <-\$2,177.00>. Dckt. 20, pg. 24. However, the Debtor is proposing a plan payment of \$45.00 per month. Dckt. 36. Facially, the Debtor is unable to make plan payments, which causes unreasonable delay. 11 U.S.C. § 1307(a)(1); 11 U.S.C. § 1325(a)(6).

Cause exists to dismiss this case. The motion is granted and the case is dismissed.

The court shall issue a minute order substantially in the following form holding that:

Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law are stated in the Civil Minutes for the hearing.

The Motion to Dismiss the Chapter 13 case filed by the Chapter 13 Trustee having been presented to the court, and upon review of the pleadings, evidence, arguments of counsel, and good cause appearing,

IT IS ORDERED that the Motion to Dismiss is granted and the case is dismissed.

5. [11-40709-E-13](#) ISAAC/STELLA MALL
DPC-1 Peter Macaluso

MOTION TO DISMISS CASE
4-18-16 [[104](#)]

Final Ruling: No appearance at the May 18, 2016 hearing is required.

The Chapter 13 Trustee having filed an ex parte motion pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 41(a)(2) and Federal Rule of Bankruptcy Procedure 9014 and 7041 for the court to dismiss without prejudice the Motion to Dismiss the Bankruptcy Case, the ex parte motion being consistent with the opposition filed to the Motion, and good cause appearing, **the court dismisses without prejudice the Chapter 13 Trustee's Motion to Dismiss the Bankruptcy Case.**

The court shall issue a minute order substantially in the following form holding that:

Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law are stated in the Civil Minutes for the hearing.

A Motion to Dismiss the Bankruptcy Case having been filed by the Chapter 13 Trustee, the Chapter 13 Trustee having filed an ex parte motion to dismiss the Motion without prejudice pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 41(a)(2) and Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure 9014 and 7041, dismissal of the Motion being consistent with the opposition filed, and good cause appearing,

IT IS ORDERED that the Motion to Dismiss the Bankruptcy Case is dismissed without prejudice.

6. [14-21316-E-13](#) SHAWN JACKSON
DPC-1 Peter Cianchetta

MOTION TO DISMISS CASE
4-15-16 [[45](#)]

Final Ruling: No appearance at the May 18, 2016 hearing is required.

Local Rule 9014-1(f)(1) Motion - No Opposition Filed.

Correct Notice Provided. The Proof of Service states that the Motion and supporting pleadings were served on Debtor, Debtor's Attorney, and Office of the United States Trustee on April 15, 2016. By the court's calculation, 33 days' notice was provided. 28 days' notice is required.

The Motion to Dismiss has been set for hearing on the notice required by Local Bankruptcy Rule 9014-1(f)(1). The failure of the respondent and other parties in interest to file written opposition at least 14 days prior to the hearing as required by Local Bankruptcy Rule 9014-1(f)(1)(ii) is considered to be the equivalent of a statement of nonopposition. *Cf. Ghazali v. Moran*, 46 F.3d 52, 53 (9th Cir. 1995). Further, because the court will not materially alter the relief requested by the moving party, an actual hearing is unnecessary. *See Law Offices of David A. Boone v. Derham-Burk (In re Eliapo)*, 468 F.3d 592, 602 (9th Cir. 2006). Therefore, the defaults of the respondent and other parties in interest are entered. Upon review of the record there are no disputed material factual issues and the matter will be resolved without oral argument. The court will issue its ruling from the parties' pleadings.

The Motion to Dismiss is granted and the case is dismissed.

David Cusick, the Chapter 13 Trustee, filed the instant Motion to Dismiss on April 15, 2016. Dckt. 45.

The Trustee seeks dismissal on the ground that the Debtor is in material default under the plan. Namely, the Trustee asserts that the Debtor failed to provide for the priority claims of Sacramento County Dept. Child Support Services (Proof of Claim No. 11) in the amount of \$1,644.74 and the Internal Revenue Service (Proof of Claim No. 2) in the amount of \$298.00. Pursuant to § 2.13 of the plan, this failure to provide is a material default under the plan. Therefore, there is cause to dismiss. 11 U.S.C. § 1307(a)(6).

Unfortunately, the Debtor failed to file a timely opposition to the instant Motion.

Cause exists to dismiss this case. The motion is granted and the case is dismissed.

The court shall issue a minute order substantially in the following form holding that:

Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law are stated in the Civil Minutes for the hearing.

The Motion to Dismiss the Chapter 13 case filed by the Chapter 13 Trustee having been presented to the court, and

upon review of the pleadings, evidence, arguments of counsel, and good cause appearing,

IT IS ORDERED that the Motion to Dismiss is granted and the case is dismissed.

7. [16-21921](#)-E-13 BOOMIE COTTON ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE - FAILURE
Pro Se TO PAY FEES
5-2-16 [[38](#)]

Tentative Ruling: Oral argument may be presented by the parties at the scheduled hearing, where the parties shall address the issues identified in this tentative ruling and such other issues as are necessary and appropriate to the court's resolution of the matter. If the court's tentative ruling becomes its final ruling, the court will make the following findings of fact and conclusions of law:

The Order to Show Cause was served by the Clerk of the Court on Boomie Cotton ("Debtor"), Trustee, and other parties in interest on May 2, 2016. The court computes that 16 days' notice has been provided.

The court issued an Order to Show Cause based on Debtor's failure to pay the required fees in this case (\$79.00 due on April 27, 2016).

The court's decision is to sustain the Order to Show Cause and order the case dismissed.

The court's docket reflects that the default in payment which is the subjection of the Order to Show Cause has not been cured. The following filing fees are delinquent and unpaid by Debtor: [\$79.00].

The court shall issue a minute order substantially in the following form holding that:

Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law are stated in the Civil Minutes for the hearing.

The Order to Show Cause having been presented to the court, and upon review of the pleadings, evidence, arguments of counsel, and good cause appearing,

IT IS ORDERED that the Order to Show Cause is sustained, no other sanctions are issued pursuant thereto, and the case is dismissed.

8. [14-26625-E-13](#) LEO VANDERHORST
DPC-1 Richard Jare

MOTION TO DISMISS CASE
4-18-16 [[26](#)]

Final Ruling: No appearance at the May 18, 2016 hearing is required.

Local Rule 9014-1(f)(1) Motion - Opposition Filed.

Correct Notice Provided. The Proof of Service states that the Motion and supporting pleadings were served on Debtor, Debtor's Attorney, and Office of the United States Trustee on April 18, 2016. By the court's calculation, 30 days' notice was provided. 28 days' notice is required.

The Motion to Dismiss has been set for hearing on the notice required by Local Bankruptcy Rule 9014-1(f)(1). The Debtor filed opposition. If it appears at the hearing that disputed material factual issues remain to be resolved, a later evidentiary hearing will be set. Local Bankr. R. 9014-1(g).

The court's decision is to deny without prejudice the Motion to Dismiss, the case having been converted to one under Chapter 7,

David Cusick, the Chapter 13 Trustee, filed the instant Motion to Dismiss on April 18, 2016. Dckt. 26. The Trustee seeks dismissal based on the Debtor's delinquency in plan payments.

DEBTOR'S OPPOSITION

Debtor's counsel, Richard Jare, filed an opposition on behalf of the Debtor. Mr. Jare states:

I, the debtor's attorney, spoke to the debtor today. He acknowledges service of the motion. He stated he cannot come to my office to discuss the case. He explains that he does not have transportation.

I gave him two options. Either to convert the case to one under Chapter 7, or else to modify the plan to surrender the class 2 auto. I stand ready to help him. But he has to come and see me first.

Dckt. 30

DISCUSSION

The Trustee seeks dismissal of the case on the basis that the Debtor is \$280.00 delinquent in plan payments, which represents multiple months of the \$140.00 plan payment. Failure to make plan payments is unreasonable delay which is prejudicial to creditors. 11 U.S.C. § 1307(c)(1).

On May 12, 2016, the Debtor elected to convert this case to one under Chapter 7. Dckt. 33.

The court shall issue a minute order substantially in the following form holding that:

Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law are stated in the Civil Minutes for the hearing.

The Motion to Dismiss the Chapter 13 case filed by the Chapter 13 Trustee having been presented to the court, and upon review of the pleadings, evidence, arguments of counsel, and good cause appearing,

IT IS ORDERED that the Motion to Dismiss is denied without prejudice, the case having been converted to one under Chapter 7 by the Debtor.

9. [13-33427-E-13](#) RENEE ESTRADA MOTION TO DISMISS CASE
DPC-3 Rebecca Ihejirika 4-20-16 [[39](#)]

Final Ruling: No appearance at the May 18, 2016 hearing is required.

The Chapter 13 Trustee having filed a Withdrawal of the Motion to Dismiss the Bankruptcy Case, pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 41(a)(1)(A)(i) and Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure 9014 and 7041 **the Motion to Dismiss the Bankruptcy Case was dismissed without prejudice, and the matter is removed from the calendar.**

10. [13-20028-E-13](#) GREGORY/ELISA WYATT MOTION TO DISMISS CASE
DPC-3 Eric Schwab 4-18-16 [[233](#)]

Tentative Ruling: The Motion to Dismiss has been set for hearing on the notice required by Local Bankruptcy Rule 9014-1(f)(1). The failure of the respondent and other parties in interest to file written opposition at least 14 days prior to the hearing as required by Local Bankruptcy Rule 9014-1(f)(1)(ii) is considered to be the equivalent of a statement of nonopposition. *Cf. Ghazali v. Moran*, 46 F.3d 52, 53 (9th Cir. 1995).

Oral argument may be presented by the parties at the scheduled hearing, where the parties shall address the issues identified in this tentative ruling and such other issues as are necessary and appropriate to the court's resolution of the matter.

Below is the court's tentative ruling.

Local Rule 9014-1(f)(1) Motion - Opposition Filed.

Correct Notice Provided. The Proof of Service states that the Motion and supporting pleadings were served on Debtors, Debtor's Attorney, and Office of the United States Trustee on April 18, 2016. By the court's calculation, 30 days' notice was provided. 28 days' notice is required.

The Motion to Dismiss has been set for hearing on the notice required by Local Bankruptcy Rule 9014-1(f)(1). The Debtor filed opposition. If it appears at the hearing that disputed material factual issues remain to be resolved, a later evidentiary hearing will be set. Local Bankr. R. 9014-1(g).

The court's decision is to grant the Motion to Dismiss and dismiss the case.

David Cusick, the Chapter 13 Trustee, filed the instant Motion to

Dismiss on April 18, 2016. Dckt. 233. The Trustee seeks dismissal due to the Debtor's delinquency in plan payments.

DEBTOR'S RESPONSE

The Debtor filed a response to the instant Motion on May 4, 2016. Dckt. 237. The Debtor asserts that Debtor Gregory Wyatt works as a realtor and had several deals fall through in the fall of 2015 and winter of 2016. However, the Debtor states that there are several pending sales listings which will allow him to catch up the delinquency partly and cure the rest with a modified plan which will be set for hearing on June 28, 2016.

DISCUSSION

The Trustee seeks dismissal of the case on the basis that the Debtor is \$8,195.00 delinquent in plan payments, which represents multiple months of the \$4,419.00 plan payment. Failure to make plan payments is unreasonable delay which is prejudicial to creditors. 11 U.S.C. § 1307(c)(1).

Unfortunately, the Debtor has not provided evidence that the delinquency has been cured. While the response indicates that the Debtor will be modifying the plan to cure the delinquency, the Debtor has failed to file a proposed modified plan nor a Motion to Confirm.

Cause exists to dismiss this case. The motion is granted and the case is dismissed.

The court shall issue a minute order substantially in the following form holding that:

Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law are stated in the Civil Minutes for the hearing.

The Motion to Dismiss the Chapter 13 case filed by the Chapter 13 Trustee having been presented to the court, and upon review of the pleadings, evidence, arguments of counsel, and good cause appearing,

IT IS ORDERED that the Motion to Dismiss is granted and the case is dismissed.

11. [14-26731-E-13](#) AIDA PALMA
DPC-2 David Ritzinger

MOTION TO DISMISS CASE
4-15-16 [[29](#)]

Final Ruling: No appearance at the May 18, 2016 hearing is required.

Local Rule 9014-1(f)(1) Motion - No Opposition Filed.

Correct Notice Provided. The Proof of Service states that the Motion and supporting pleadings were served on Debtor, Debtor's Attorney, and Office of the United States Trustee on April 15, 2016. By the court's calculation, 33 days' notice was provided. 28 days' notice is required.

The Motion to Dismiss has been set for hearing on the notice required by Local Bankruptcy Rule 9014-1(f)(1). The failure of the respondent and other parties in interest to file written opposition at least 14 days prior to the hearing as required by Local Bankruptcy Rule 9014-1(f)(1)(ii) is considered to be the equivalent of a statement of nonopposition. *Cf. Ghazali v. Moran*, 46 F.3d 52, 53 (9th Cir. 1995). Further, because the court will not materially alter the relief requested by the moving party, an actual hearing is unnecessary. *See Law Offices of David A. Boone v. Derham-Burk (In re Eliapo)*, 468 F.3d 592, 602 (9th Cir. 2006). Therefore, the defaults of the respondent and other parties in interest are entered. Upon review of the record there are no disputed material factual issues and the matter will be resolved without oral argument. The court will issue its ruling from the parties' pleadings.

The Motion to Dismiss is granted and the case is dismissed.

David Cusick, the Chapter 13 Trustee, filed the instant Motion to Dismiss on April 15, 2016. Dckt. 29.

The Trustee seeks dismissal on the ground that the Debtor is in material default under the plan. Namely, the Trustee asserts that the Debtor failed to provide for the priority claims of the Internal Revenue Service (Proof of Claim No. 1) in the amount of \$7,612.54.00. Pursuant to § 2.13 of the plan, this failure to provide is a material default under the plan. Therefore, there is cause to dismiss. 11 U.S.C. § 1307(a)(6).

Unfortunately, the Debtor failed to file a timely opposition to the instant Motion.

Cause exists to dismiss this case. The motion is granted and the case is dismissed.

The court shall issue a minute order substantially in the following form holding that:

Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law are stated in the Civil Minutes for the hearing.

The Motion to Dismiss the Chapter 13 case filed by the

Chapter 13 Trustee having been presented to the court, and upon review of the pleadings, evidence, arguments of counsel, and good cause appearing,

IT IS ORDERED that the Motion to Dismiss is granted and the case is dismissed.

12. [13-35638](#)-E-13 CHARLES LEONARD MOTION TO DISMISS CASE
DPC-2 Robert Huckaby 4-18-16 [[45](#)]

Final Ruling: No appearance at the May 18, 2016 hearing is required.

The Chapter 13 Trustee having filed an ex parte motion pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 41(a)(2) and Federal Rule of Bankruptcy Procedure 9014 and 7041 for the court to dismiss without prejudice the Motion to Dismiss the Bankruptcy Case, the ex parte motion being consistent with the opposition filed to the Motion, and good cause appearing, **the court dismisses without prejudice the Chapter 13 Trustee's Motion to Dismiss the Bankruptcy Case.**

The court shall issue a minute order substantially in the following form holding that:

Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law are stated in the Civil Minutes for the hearing.

A Motion to Dismiss the Bankruptcy Case having been filed by the Chapter 13 Trustee, the Chapter 13 Trustee having filed an ex parte motion to dismiss the Motion without prejudice pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 41(a)(2) and Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure 9014 and 7041, dismissal of the Motion being consistent with the opposition filed, and good cause appearing,

IT IS ORDERED that the Motion to Dismiss the Bankruptcy Case is dismissed without prejudice.

13. [16-21439-E-13](#) DOUGLAS/KIM JACOBS
DPC-2 Steven Alpert

MOTION TO DISMISS CASE
5-4-16 [[19](#)]

Tentative Ruling: The Motion to Dismiss was properly set for hearing on the notice required by Local Bankruptcy Rule 9014-1(f)(2). Consequently, the Debtor, Creditors, the Trustee, the U.S. Trustee, and any other parties in interest were not required to file a written response or opposition to the motion. If any of these potential respondents appear at the hearing and offers opposition to the motion, the court will set a briefing schedule and a final hearing unless there is no need to develop the record further. If no opposition is offered at the hearing, the court will take up the merits of the motion.

Oral argument may be presented by the parties at the scheduled hearing, where the parties shall address the issues identified in this tentative ruling and such other issues as are necessary and appropriate to the court's resolution of the matter.

Below is the court's tentative ruling, rendered on the assumption that there will be no opposition to the motion. If there is opposition presented, the court will consider the opposition and whether further hearing is proper pursuant to Local Bankruptcy Rule 9014-1(f)(2)(iii).

Local Rule 9014-1(f)(2) Motion.

Correct Notice Provided. The Proof of Service states that the Motion and supporting pleadings were served on Debtors, Debtor's Attorney, and Office of the United States Trustee on May 4, 2016. By the court's calculation, 14 days' notice was provided. 14 days' notice is required.

The Motion to Dismiss was properly set for hearing on the notice required by Local Bankruptcy Rule 9014-1(f)(2). The Debtor, Creditors, the Trustee, the U.S. Trustee, and any other parties in interest were not required to file a written response or opposition to the motion. At the hearing -----

| |
|---|
| The court's decision is to grant the Motion to Dismiss and dismiss the case. |
|---|

David Cusick, the Chapter 13 Trustee, filed the instant Motion to Dismiss on May 4, 2016. Dckt. 19.

The Trustee argues that the Debtor did not commence making plan payments and is \$3,977.22 delinquent in plan payments, which represents multiple months of the \$3,977.22 plan payment. 11 U.S.C. §1307(c)(4) permits the dismissal or conversion of the case for failure to commence plan payments.

Unfortunately, the Debtor has not provided evidence that the delinquency has been cured.

Cause exists to dismiss this case. The motion is granted and the case is dismissed.

The court shall issue a minute order substantially in the following form holding that:

Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law are stated in the Civil Minutes for the hearing.

The Motion to Dismiss the Chapter 13 case filed by the Chapter 13 Trustee having been presented to the court, and upon review of the pleadings, evidence, arguments of counsel, and good cause appearing,

IT IS ORDERED that the Motion to Dismiss is granted and the case is dismissed.

14. [14-28140-E-13](#) **MAX SHOFFNER** **CONTINUED MOTION TO DISMISS**
DPC-1 **Stephen Reynolds** **CASE**
3-16-16 [20]

Final Ruling: No appearance at the May 18, 2016 hearing is required.

The Chapter 13 Trustee having filed an ex parte motion pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 41(a)(2) and Federal Rule of Bankruptcy Procedure 9014 and 7041 for the court to dismiss without prejudice the Motion to Dismiss the Bankruptcy Case, the ex parte motion being consistent with the opposition filed to the Motion, and good cause appearing, **the court dismisses without prejudice the Chapter 13 Trustee's Motion to Dismiss the Bankruptcy Case.**

The court shall issue a minute order substantially in the following form holding that:

Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law are stated in the Civil Minutes for the hearing.

A Motion to Dismiss the Bankruptcy Case having been filed by the Chapter 13 Trustee, the Chapter 13 Trustee having filed an ex parte motion to dismiss the Motion without prejudice pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 41(a)(2) and Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure 9014 and 7041, dismissal of the Motion being consistent with the opposition filed, and good cause appearing,

IT IS ORDERED that the Motion to Dismiss the Bankruptcy Case is dismissed without prejudice.

15. [16-20740-E-13](#) EMMA MCZEEK-TANKO
Thomas Amberg

ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE - FAILURE
TO PAY FEES
4-15-16 [[25](#)]

Final Ruling: No appearance at the May 18, 2016 hearing is required.

The Order to Show Cause was served by the Clerk of the Court on Emma McZeek-Tanko ("Debtor"), Trustee, and other such other parties in interest as stated on the Certificate of Service on April 15, 2016. The court computes that 33 days' notice has been provided.

The court issued an Order to Show Cause based on Debtor's failure to pay the required fees in this case (\$77.00 due on April 11, 2016).

The court's decision is to discharge the Order to Show Cause, and the case shall proceed in this court.

The court's docket reflects that the default in payment which is the subjection of the Order to Show Cause has been cured.

The court shall issue a minute order substantially in the following form holding that:

Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law are stated in the Civil Minutes for the hearing.

The Order to Show Cause having been presented to the court, and upon review of the pleadings, evidence, arguments of counsel, and good cause appearing,

IT IS ORDERED that the Order to Show Cause is discharged, no sanctions ordered, and the case shall proceed in this court.

16. [14-24241](#)-E-13 JENNIFER BERTRAM MOTION TO DISMISS CASE
DPC-6 Mark Shmorgan 4-20-16 [[47](#)]

Final Ruling: No appearance at the May 18, 2016 hearing is required.

The Chapter 13 Trustee filed *ex parte* motion to dismiss the motion to dismiss without prejudice pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(a)(2) and Fed. R. Bankr. P. 9014 and 7041. Dckt. 55. The dismissal being consistent with the opposition filed by the Debtors, the *ex parte* motion is granted, the Trustee's motion is dismissed without prejudice, and the court removes this Motion from the calendar.

The court shall issue a minute order substantially in the following form holding that:

Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law are stated in the Civil Minutes for the hearing.

The Motion to Dismiss the Chapter 13 case filed by Trustee having been presented to the court, the Trustee having requested that the Motion itself be dismissed pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 41(a)(2) and Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure 7041 and 9014, Dckt. 55, and upon review of the pleadings, evidence, arguments of counsel, and good cause appearing,

IT IS ORDERED that the Trustee's Motion to Dismiss the Chapter 13 case is dismissed without prejudice, and the bankruptcy case shall proceed in this court.

17. [15-26344](#)-E-7 CODY/MICHELLE THURMAN MOTION TO DISMISS CASE
DPC-1 Nikki Farris 4-18-16 [[28](#)]

WITHDRAWN BY M.P.

Final Ruling: No appearance at the May 18, 2016 hearing is required.

The Chapter 13 Trustee having filed a Withdrawal of the Motion to Dismiss the Bankruptcy Case, pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 41(a)(1)(A)(I) and Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure 9014 and 7041 **the Motion to Dismiss the Bankruptcy Case was dismissed without prejudice, and the matter is removed from the calendar.**

18. [11-37647-E-13](#) CARL FOWLER MOTION TO DISMISS CASE
DPC-2 Rabin Pournazarian 4-20-16 [[33](#)]

Final Ruling: No appearance at the May 18, 2016 hearing is required.

The Chapter 13 Trustee having filed a Withdrawal of the Motion to Dismiss the Bankruptcy Case, pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 41(a)(1)(A)(I) and Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure 9014 and 7041 **the Motion to Dismiss the Bankruptcy Case was dismissed without prejudice, and the matter is removed from the calendar.**

19. [14-20150-E-13](#) MICHAEL/DEBORAH SOUZA MOTION TO DISMISS CASE
DPC-1 Diana Cavanaugh 4-15-16 [[52](#)]

Tentative Ruling: The Motion to Dismiss has been set for hearing on the notice required by Local Bankruptcy Rule 9014-1(f)(1). The failure of the respondent and other parties in interest to file written opposition at least 14 days prior to the hearing as required by Local Bankruptcy Rule 9014-1(f)(1)(ii) is considered to be the equivalent of a statement of nonopposition. *Cf. Ghazali v. Moran*, 46 F.3d 52, 53 (9th Cir. 1995).

Oral argument may be presented by the parties at the scheduled hearing, where the parties shall address the issues identified in this tentative ruling and such other issues as are necessary and appropriate to the court's resolution of the matter.

Below is the court's tentative ruling.

Local Rule 9014-1(f)(1) Motion - Opposition Filed.

Correct Notice Provided. The Proof of Service states that the Motion and supporting pleadings were served on Debtors, Debtor's Attorney, and Office of the United States Trustee on April 15, 2016. By the court's calculation, 33 days' notice was provided. 28 days' notice is required.

The Motion to Dismiss has been set for hearing on the notice required by Local Bankruptcy Rule 9014-1(f)(1). The Debtor filed opposition. If it appears at the hearing that disputed material factual issues remain to be resolved, a later evidentiary hearing will be set. Local Bankr. R. 9014-1(g).

The court's decision is to deny without prejudice the Motion to Dismiss.

David Cusick, the Chapter 13 Trustee, filed the instant Motion to Dismiss on April 15, 2016. Dckt. 52. The Trustee seeks dismissal due to the plan having to complete in 89 months resulting from the Debtor's failure to

increase the plan payments based on the Notice of Mortgage Payment Change.

DEBTOR'S RESPONSE

The Debtor filed a response on May 6, 2016. Dckt. 56. The Debtor's counsel states that he met with the Debtors and reviewed the income and expenses. The Debtors assert they will file a proposed modified plan and Motion to Confirm prior to the hearing.

DISCUSSION

Debtor is in material default under the plan because the plan will complete in more than the permitted 60 months. According to the Trustee, the plan will complete in 89 months due to the Debtor failing to increase payments for the Monthly Contract Installment based on the Notice of Mortgage. This exceeds the maximum 60 months allowed under 11 U.S.C. § 1322(d) and constitutes material default under the plan.

Modified Plan and Motion to Confirm

The court has reviewed the Motion to Confirm the Modified Plan and the Declaration in support filed by the Debtors. Dckt. 59, xx. The Motion appears to comply with Federal Rule of Bankruptcy Procedure 9013 (stating grounds with particularity) and the Declaration appears to provide testimony as to facts to support confirmation based upon her personal knowledge (Fed. R. Evid. 601, 602).

The Debtor having acted to modify the plan and doing so in a manner consistent with the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure and Federal Rules of Evidence, the Motion is denied without prejudice.

The court shall issue a minute order substantially in the following form holding that:

Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law are stated in the Civil Minutes for the hearing.

The Motion to Dismiss the Chapter 13 case filed by the Chapter 13 Trustee having been presented to the court, and upon review of the pleadings, evidence, arguments of counsel, and good cause appearing,

IT IS ORDERED that the Motion to Dismiss is denied without prejudice.

20. [15-22250-E-13](#) PAULINE SIME MOTION TO DISMISS CASE
DPC-3 Mohammad Mokarram 4-18-16 [[33](#)]

Final Ruling: No appearance at the May 18, 2016 hearing is required.

Local Rule 9014-1(f)(1) Motion - No Opposition Filed.

Correct Notice Provided. The Proof of Service states that the Motion and supporting pleadings were served on Debtor, Debtor's Attorney, and Office of the United States Trustee on April 18, 2016. By the court's calculation, 30 days' notice was provided. 28 days' notice is required.

The Motion to Dismiss has been set for hearing on the notice required by Local Bankruptcy Rule 9014-1(f)(1). The failure of the respondent and other parties in interest to file written opposition at least 14 days prior to the hearing as required by Local Bankruptcy Rule 9014-1(f)(1)(ii) is considered to be the equivalent of a statement of nonopposition. *Cf. Ghazali v. Moran*, 46 F.3d 52, 53 (9th Cir. 1995). Further, because the court will not materially alter the relief requested by the moving party, an actual hearing is unnecessary. *See Law Offices of David A. Boone v. Derham-Burk (In re Eliapo)*, 468 F.3d 592, 602 (9th Cir. 2006). Therefore, the defaults of the respondent and other parties in interest are entered. Upon review of the record there are no disputed material factual issues and the matter will be resolved without oral argument. The court will issue its ruling from the parties' pleadings.

The Motion to Dismiss is granted and the case is dismissed.

David Cusick, the Chapter 13 Trustee, filed the instant Motion to Dismiss on April 18, 2016. Dckt. 33.

The Trustee seeks dismissal of the case on the basis that the Debtor is \$880.00 delinquent in plan payments, which represents multiple months of the \$440.00 plan payment. Failure to make plan payments is unreasonable delay which is prejudicial to creditors. 11 U.S.C. § 1307(c)(1).

Unfortunately, the Debtor has not provided evidence that the delinquency has been cured. The Debtor has failed to timely respond to the instant Motion.

Cause exists to dismiss this case. The motion is granted and the case is dismissed.

The court shall issue a minute order substantially in the following form holding that:

Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law are stated in the Civil Minutes for the hearing.

The Motion to Dismiss the Chapter 13 case filed by the Chapter 13 Trustee having been presented to the court, and

upon review of the pleadings, evidence, arguments of counsel, and good cause appearing,

IT IS ORDERED that the Motion to Dismiss is granted and the case is dismissed.

21. [16-21351-E-13](#) EDUARDO ILANO MOTION TO DISMISS CASE
DPC-2 Rowena Libang 4-27-16 [[18](#)]

Tentative Ruling: The Motion to Dismiss was properly set for hearing on the notice required by Local Bankruptcy Rule 9014-1(f)(2). Consequently, the Debtor, Creditors, the Trustee, the U.S. Trustee, and any other parties in interest were not required to file a written response or opposition to the motion. If any of these potential respondents appear at the hearing and offers opposition to the motion, the court will set a briefing schedule and a final hearing unless there is no need to develop the record further. If no opposition is offered at the hearing, the court will take up the merits of the motion.

Oral argument may be presented by the parties at the scheduled hearing, where the parties shall address the issues identified in this tentative ruling and such other issues as are necessary and appropriate to the court's resolution of the matter.

Below is the court's tentative ruling, rendered on the assumption that there will be no opposition to the motion. If there is opposition presented, the court will consider the opposition and whether further hearing is proper pursuant to Local Bankruptcy Rule 9014-1(f)(2)(iii).

Local Rule 9014-1(f)(2) Motion.

Correct Notice Provided. The Proof of Service states that the Motion and supporting pleadings were served on Debtor, Debtor's Attorney, and Office of the United States Trustee on April 27, 2016. By the court's calculation, 21 days' notice was provided. 14 days' notice is required.

The Motion to Dismiss was properly set for hearing on the notice required by Local Bankruptcy Rule 9014-1(f)(2). The Debtor, Creditors, the Trustee, the U.S. Trustee, and any other parties in interest were not required to file a written response or opposition to the motion. At the hearing -----
-----.

The court's decision is to grant the Motion to Dismiss and dismiss the case.

David Cusick, the Chapter 13 Trustee, filed the instant Motion to Dismiss on April 27, 2016. Dckt. 18.

The Trustee argues that the Debtor did not commence making plan payments and is \$3,605.00 delinquent in plan payments. 11 U.S.C. §1307(c)(4) permits the dismissal or conversion of the case for failure to commence plan payments.

Further, the Trustee alleges that the Debtor did not appear at the Meeting of Creditors held pursuant to 11 U.S.C. § 341. Attendance is mandatory. 11 U.S.C. § 343. Failure to appear at the Meeting of Creditors is unreasonable delay which is prejudicial to creditors and cause to dismiss the case. 11 U.S.C. § 1307(c)(1).

Unfortunately, the Debtor has not provided evidence that the delinquency has been cured.

Cause exists to dismiss this case. The motion is granted and the case is dismissed.

The court shall issue a minute order substantially in the following form holding that:

Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law are stated in the Civil Minutes for the hearing.

The Motion to Dismiss the Chapter 13 case filed by the Chapter 13 Trustee having been presented to the court, and upon review of the pleadings, evidence, arguments of counsel, and good cause appearing,

IT IS ORDERED that the Motion to Dismiss is granted and the case is dismissed.

22. [15-29555-E-13](#) DIANNE AKZAM
DPC-1 Pro Se

CONTINUED MOTION TO DISMISS
CASE
2-1-16 [[26](#)]

Tentative Ruling: The Motion to Dismiss was properly set for hearing on the notice required by Local Bankruptcy Rule 9014-1(f)(2). Consequently, the Debtor, Creditors, the Trustee, the U.S. Trustee, and any other parties in interest were not required to file a written response or opposition to the motion. If any of these potential respondents appear at the hearing and offers opposition to the motion, the court will set a briefing schedule and a final hearing unless there is no need to develop the record further. If no opposition is offered at the hearing, the court will take up the merits of the motion.

Oral argument may be presented by the parties at the scheduled hearing, where the parties shall address the issues identified in this tentative ruling and such other issues as are necessary and appropriate to the court's resolution of the matter.

Below is the court's tentative ruling, rendered on the assumption that there will be no opposition to the motion. If there is opposition presented, the court will consider the opposition and whether further hearing is proper pursuant to Local Bankruptcy Rule 9014-1(f)(2)(iii).

Local Rule 9014-1(f)(2) Motion.

Correct Notice Provided. The Proof of Service states that the Motion and supporting pleadings were served on Debtor (*pro se*) and Office of the United States Trustee on February 1, 2016. By the court's calculation, 16 days' notice was provided. 14 days' notice is required.

The Motion to Dismiss was properly set for hearing on the notice required by Local Bankruptcy Rule 9014-1(f)(2). The Debtor, Creditors, the Trustee, the U.S. Trustee, and any other parties in interest were not required to file a written response or opposition to the motion.

The court's decision is to continue the hearing on the the Trustee's Motion to Dismiss to 10:00 a.m. on August 10, 2016.

The Trustee asserts that the Debtor did not properly serve the Plan on all interested parties and has yet to file a motion to confirm the Plan. The Plan was filed after the notice of the Meeting of Creditors was issued. Therefore, the Debtor must file a motion to confirm the Plan. See Local Bankr. R. 3015-1(c)(3). A review of the docket shows that no such motion has been filed. This is unreasonable delay which is prejudicial to creditors. 11 U.S.C. §1307(c)(1).

Further, the Trustee alleges that the Debtor did not appear at the

Meeting of Creditors held pursuant to 11 U.S.C. § 341. Attendance is mandatory. 11 U.S.C. § 343. Failure to appear at the Meeting of Creditors is unreasonable delay which is prejudicial to creditors and cause to dismiss the case. 11 U.S.C. § 1307(c)(1).

The Debtor has not provided the Trustee with employer payment advices for the 60-day period preceding the filing of the petition as required by 11 U.S.C. § 521(a)(1)(B)(iv). Also, the Trustee argues that the Debtor did not provide either a tax transcript or a federal income tax return with attachments for the most recent pre-petition tax year for which a return was required. See 11 U.S.C. § 521(e)(2)(A); Fed. R. Bankr. P. 4002(b)(3). This is unreasonable delay which is prejudicial to creditors. 11 U.S.C. § 1307(c)(1).

The Trustee further objects, stating that the petition may not be filed in good faith. The Debtor has failed to list the 6 prior bankruptcies between 2010 and 2015 filed by the Debtor. The Debtor does not disclose this information. The failure to provide accurate and complete information is grounds to dismiss the case.

The Debtor failed to respond to the instant Motion.

Though the Trustee points out the heretofore undisclosed prior bankruptcy filings by Debtor, there are additional related bankruptcy filings in which Debtor has participated and litigated. Those cases were filed by her brother, Jeffrey Akzam, and are:

- A. 11-25844 in *Pro Se*
 - 1. Chapter 13 Filed March 9, 2011
 - 2. Motion to Dismiss for failure to file motion to confirm plan, failure to file tax returns, failure to provide most recent tax return, and failure to provide copies of business records. Dckt. 28.
 - 3. Case converted to Chapter 7 at request of debtor Jeffrey Akzam. Order, Dckt. 42.
 - 4. Discharge entered September 2, 2011.

- B. 13-20155 in *Pro se*
 - 1. Chapter 13 Filed January 7, 2013.
 - 2. Case dismissed because of debtor Jeffery Akzam's failure to file tax returns and Mr. Akzam's failure to file a motion to confirm a Chapter 13 Plan. Civil Minutes, Dckt. 73. The court also determined that the Plan, as proposed by debtor Jeffery Akzam was not feasible and the plan was underfunded. *Id.*
 - 3. In connection with Jeffery Akzam's Chapter 13 case 13-20155, Jeffery Akzam filed an Adversary Proceeding disputing the lien of Option One Mortgage. Adv. 13-2103.

- a. After granting a motion to dismiss the Complaint, a First Amended Complaint was filed, in which Debtor Dianne Akzam was added as a joint plaintiff with Jeffery Akzam. Debtor Dianne Akzam and her brother Jeffery Akzam disputed the secured claim and alleged violations of the automatic stay.
 - b. The court determined that abstention pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1334(c), the court finding that there were no issues arising under the Bankruptcy Code or in the bankruptcy case. Civil Minutes, Dckt. 85.
- C. 14-30332 in *Pro Se*
- 1. Chapter 13 Case filed October 17, 2014
 - 2. Case dismissed on July 8, 2015.
 - 3. The case was dismissed due to debtor Jeffrey Akzam's failure to file an amended plan after the court denied confirmation of the proposed plan. Civil Minutes, Dckt. 83.

The six prior bankruptcy cases filed by Debtor are summarized as follows:

| | | |
|------------------------------|--|---|
| 14-28272 <i>In Pro Se</i> | Chapter 13 Case | Filed August 14, 2014 Dismissed September 29, 2014 |
| | I. Case dismissed for failure to filed Schedules, Statement of Financial Affairs, and Chapter 13 Plan. | |
| | II. Court denied Debtor's Motion to Extend the Automatic Stay 11 U.S.C. § 362(c)(3)(B). Dckt. 28. The court discussed in detail the Debtor's history of failure to prosecute prior multiple bankruptcy cases. Civil Minutes, Dckt. 28. | |
| | III. Also the court issued an order to show cause why the case should not be dismissed due to failure to pay filing fees. | |
| 14-23825 <i>In Pro Se</i> | Chapter 13 Case | Filed April 14, 2014 Dismissed July 23, 2014 |

| | | |
|------------------------------|---|--|
| | I. Case dismissed because Debtor did not meeting the eligibility requirements for a Debtor in a Chapter 13 case as (1) she did not have any regular income and (2) had not filed a Certificate of Pre-Filing Credit Counseling. Dckt. 49. | |
| 12-37369 <i>In Pro Se</i> | Chapter 13 Case | Filed September 27, 2012. Dismissed November 19, 2012 |
| | I. The case was dismissed due to Debtor failing to file Schedules, Statement of Financial Affairs, and Plan. Dckt. 21. II. Motion to Vacate Dismissal Order denied. Order, Dckt. 33 III. Also the court issued an order to show cause why the case should not be dismissed due to failure to pay filing fees. | |
| 11-43187 <i>In Pro Se</i> | Chapter 13 Case | Filed September 27, 2011 Dismissed December 14, 2011 |
| | I. The case was dismissed for failure of Debtor to file Schedules, Statement of Financial Affairs, and Plan. Order, Dckt. 25. II. Case also dismissed due to Debtor failing to pay filing fees. Order, Dckt. 26. | |
| 11-20282 <i>In Pro Se</i> | Chapter 13 Case | Filed January 4, 2011 Dismissed March 18, 2011 |
| | I. Case dismissed due to Debtor's failure to attend First Meeting of Creditors and failure to file motion to confirm Chapter 13 Plan. Motion and Order, Dckts. 22, 27. II. Also the court issued an order to show cause why the case should not be dismissed due to failure to pay filing fees. | |
| 10-45216 <i>In Pro Se</i> | Chapter 13 Case | Filed September 22, 2010 Dismissed December 16, 2010 |
| | I. The bankruptcy case was dismissed due to Debtor failing to file a motion to confirm the Chapter 13 Plan and Debtor being delinquent in Plan payments. Motion and Order, Dckts. 22, 38. II. Also the court issued an order to show cause why the case should not be dismissed due to failure to pay filing fees. | |

Jeffrey Akzam and his sister, the Debtor Diane Akzam, have filed a series of coordinated Chapter 13 cases without either of them engaging in the good faith prosecution of those cases. To the extent that either of them believe they have a bona fide dispute with the lender who asserted a lien against property in which these two debtor believed they had an interest, those issues are outside of bankruptcy.

In connection with the most recent filing by Diane Akzam, the U.S. Trustee has commenced an Adversary Proceeding seeking injunctive relief to preclude Diane Akzam from filing further non-productive bankruptcy cases. 15-2247.

Clearly, the Debtor lack of good faith prosecution of this case warrants action under 11 U.S.C. § 1307. That could be dismissal of the case or conversion to Chapter 7 to allow an independent fiduciary Chapter 7 Trustee to take possession of all property of the bankruptcy estate, liquidate all non-exempt property, and make a disbursement to creditors.

Even if the court were to dismiss this case, an issue arises whether the dismissal should be with prejudice, Debtor having repeated filed bankruptcy cases which she has failed to prosecute in good faith.

FEBRUARY 17, 2016 HEARING

At the hearing, the court continued the hearing to 10:00 a.m. on May 18, 2016. Dckt. 30.

DISCUSSION

To date, no papers have been filed in connection with the instant Motion.

Since the continued hearing, the Debtor appeared at the Meeting of Creditors held on February 25, 2016. Additionally, the Debtor filed an Amended Petition and Schedules. Dckts. 33 and 34.

On April 8, 2016, the Debtor filed a Motion to Confirm Plan but failed to attach a proposed plan. Dckt. 38.

There is pending an Adversary Proceeding in which the U.S. Trustee seeks to obtain a Prefiling Review Order in light of the Debtor's non-productive repeat filing of bankruptcy cases.

While the Trustee's objection to confirmation raises significant issues, the court will not dismiss this case at this time.

The court shall issue a minute order substantially in the following form holding that:

Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law are stated in the Civil Minutes for the hearing.

The Motion to Dismiss the Chapter 13 case filed by the

Chapter 13 Trustee having been presented to the court, and upon review of the pleadings, evidence, arguments of counsel, and good cause appearing,

IT IS ORDERED that the Motion to Dismiss is continued to 10:00 a.m. on August 10. 2016.

23. [11-44656-E-13](#) PAUL/DIANE DUMETZ
DPC-9 Jasmin Nguyen

MOTION TO DISMISS CASE
4-20-16 [[55](#)]

Tentative Ruling: The Motion to Dismiss has been set for hearing on the notice required by Local Bankruptcy Rule 9014-1(f)(1). The failure of the respondent and other parties in interest to file written opposition at least 14 days prior to the hearing as required by Local Bankruptcy Rule 9014-1(f)(1)(ii) is considered to be the equivalent of a statement of nonopposition. *Cf. Ghazali v. Moran*, 46 F.3d 52, 53 (9th Cir. 1995).

Oral argument may be presented by the parties at the scheduled hearing, where the parties shall address the issues identified in this tentative ruling and such other issues as are necessary and appropriate to the court's resolution of the matter.

Below is the court's tentative ruling.

Local Rule 9014-1(f)(1) Motion - Opposition Filed.

Correct Notice Provided. The Proof of Service states that the Motion and supporting pleadings were served on Debtors, Debtor's Attorney, and Office of the United States Trustee on April 20, 2016. By the court's calculation, 28 days' notice was provided. 28 days' notice is required.

The Motion to Dismiss has been set for hearing on the notice required by Local Bankruptcy Rule 9014-1(f)(1). The Debtor filed opposition. If it appears at the hearing that disputed material factual issues remain to be resolved, a later evidentiary hearing will be set. Local Bankr. R. 9014-1(g).

The court's decision is to continue the Motion to Dismiss to 10:00 a.m. on June 22, 2016.

David Cusick, the Chapter 13 Trustee, filed the instant Motion to Dismiss on April 20, 2016. Dckt. 55. The Trustee seeks dismissal due to the Debtor's delinquency in plan payments.

DEBTOR'S REPLY

The Debtor filed a reply on May 4, 2016. Dckt. 59. The Debtors state that both Debtors' income were reduced and medical illnesses arose. This caused the Debtor to fall behind on their payment beginning February 2016. The Debtor states that Debtor Paul Anthony Dumetz was laid off in early 2015 and is now collecting social security income. Debtor Diane Dumetz was diagnosed with cancer in 2013, which led to her ending her employment and collect disability.

The Debtors state that Debtor Diane Dumetz inherited a portion of a residence in San Francisco along with her two other siblings. The Debtor states that the Debtor anticipates that the residence will be listed for sale in June 2016.

The Debtors request that the court continue the motion to provide the

Debtors the opportunity to sell the property and get current under the plan.

DISCUSSION

The Trustee seeks dismissal of the case on the basis that the Debtor is \$6,289.12 delinquent in plan payments, which represents multiple months of the \$3,144.56 plan payment. Failure to make plan payments is unreasonable delay which is prejudicial to creditors. 11 U.S.C. § 1307(c)(1).

However, in light of the recent inheritance and the substantial amount of funds the Debtors have paid into the plan to date, the court continues the instant Motion to 10:00 a.m. on June 22, 2016.

In continuing the hearing, the court first notes that this bankruptcy case was filed in October 2011. The sixty month plan maximum will be expiring this calendar year. While there is time for Debtor to act, Debtor must act promptly.

Second, Debtor will have to address the sale of the newly acquired property (the interest in the house) as permitted by the Plan (which does not yet provide for the sale) and the Bankruptcy Code (for which court approval is required not only for the sale, but also for hiring the real estate broker). The court cannot tell if the property is being sold as part of a probate or by Debtor and two siblings personally.

The court shall issue a minute order substantially in the following form holding that:

Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law are stated in the Civil Minutes for the hearing.

The Motion to Dismiss the Chapter 13 case filed by the Chapter 13 Trustee having been presented to the court, and upon review of the pleadings, evidence, arguments of counsel, and good cause appearing,

IT IS ORDERED that the Motion to Dismiss is continued to 10:00 a.m. on June 22, 2016.

24. [15-26656-E-13](#) GARY STEPHAN
DPC-3 Dale Orthner

MOTION TO DISMISS CASE
4-26-16 [[87](#)]

Tentative Ruling: The Motion to Dismiss was properly set for hearing on the notice required by Local Bankruptcy Rule 9014-1(f)(2). Consequently, the Debtor, Creditors, the Trustee, the U.S. Trustee, and any other parties in interest were not required to file a written response or opposition to the motion. If any of these potential respondents appear at the hearing and offers opposition to the motion, the court will set a briefing schedule and a final hearing unless there is no need to develop the record further. If no opposition is offered at the hearing, the court will take up the merits of the motion.

Oral argument may be presented by the parties at the scheduled hearing, where the parties shall address the issues identified in this tentative ruling and such other issues as are necessary and appropriate to the court's resolution of the matter.

Below is the court's tentative ruling, rendered on the assumption that there will be no opposition to the motion. If there is opposition presented, the court will consider the opposition and whether further hearing is proper pursuant to Local Bankruptcy Rule 9014-1(f)(2)(iii).

Local Rule 9014-1(f)(2) Motion.

Correct Notice Provided. The Proof of Service states that the Motion and supporting pleadings were served on Debtors, Debtor's Attorney, and Office of the United States Trustee on April 26, 2016. By the court's calculation, 22 days' notice was provided. 14 days' notice is required.

The Motion to Dismiss was properly set for hearing on the notice required by Local Bankruptcy Rule 9014-1(f)(2). The Debtor, Creditors, the Trustee, the U.S. Trustee, and any other parties in interest were not required to file a written response or opposition to the motion. At the hearing -----
-----.

The court's decision is to grant the Motion to Dismiss and dismiss the case.

David Cusick, the Chapter 13 Trustee, filed the instant Motion to Dismiss on April 26, 2016. Dckt. 87.

The Trustee's Motion argues that the Debtor did not file a Plan or a Motion to Confirm a Plan following the court's denial of confirmation to Debtor's prior plan on March 15, 2016 [dckt 83]. A review of the docket shows that Debtor has not yet filed a new plan or a motion to confirm a plan. Debtor offers no explanation for the delay in setting the Plan for confirmation. This is unreasonable delay which is prejudicial to creditors. 11 U.S.C. §1307(c)(1).

The Debtor has not filed a response to the instant Motion.

Cause exists to dismiss this case. The motion is granted and the case is dismissed.

The court shall issue a minute order substantially in the following form holding that:

Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law are stated in the Civil Minutes for the hearing.

The Motion to Dismiss the Chapter 13 case filed by the Chapter 13 Trustee having been presented to the court, and upon review of the pleadings, evidence, arguments of counsel, and good cause appearing,

IT IS ORDERED that the Motion to Dismiss is granted and the case is dismissed.

25. [13-29462-E-13](#) JOHN LONG MOTION TO DISMISS CASE
DPC-1 David Ritzinger 4-15-16 [[71](#)]

Final Ruling: No appearance at the May 18, 2016 hearing is required.

The Chapter 13 Trustee having filed an ex parte motion pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 41(a)(2) and Federal Rule of Bankruptcy Procedure 9014 and 7041 for the court to dismiss without prejudice the Motion to Dismiss the Bankruptcy Case, the ex parte motion being consistent with the opposition filed to the Motion, and good cause appearing, **the court dismisses without prejudice the Chapter 13 Trustee's Motion to Dismiss the Bankruptcy Case.**

The court shall issue a minute order substantially in the following form holding that:

Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law are stated in the Civil Minutes for the hearing.

A Motion to Dismiss the Bankruptcy Case having been filed by the Chapter 13 Trustee, the Chapter 13 Trustee having filed an ex parte motion to dismiss the Motion without prejudice pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 41(a)(2) and Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure 9014 and 7041, dismissal of the Motion being consistent with the opposition filed, and good cause appearing,

IT IS ORDERED that the Motion to Dismiss the Bankruptcy Case is dismissed without prejudice.

26. [16-21063-E-7](#) FELIPE GONZALEZ-ARANDA MOTION TO DISMISS CASE
DPC-2 AND MARIA GONZALEZ 4-12-16 [[17](#)]
Pro Se

WITHDRAWN BY M.P.

Final Ruling: No appearance at the May 18, 2016 hearing is required.

The Chapter 13 Trustee having filed a Withdrawal of the Motion to Dismiss the Bankruptcy Case due to the case being converted on May 2, 2016 (Dckt. 21), pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 41(a)(1)(A)(I) and Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure 9014 and 7041 **the Motion to Dismiss the Bankruptcy Case was dismissed without prejudice, and the matter is removed from the calendar.**

Tentative Ruling: The Motion to Dismiss has been set for hearing on the notice required by Local Bankruptcy Rule 9014-1(f)(1). The failure of the respondent and other parties in interest to file written opposition at least 14 days prior to the hearing as required by Local Bankruptcy Rule 9014-1(f)(1)(ii) is considered to be the equivalent of a statement of nonopposition. *Cf. Ghazali v. Moran*, 46 F.3d 52, 53 (9th Cir. 1995).

Oral argument may be presented by the parties at the scheduled hearing, where the parties shall address the issues identified in this tentative ruling and such other issues as are necessary and appropriate to the court's resolution of the matter.

Below is the court's tentative ruling.

Local Rule 9014-1(f)(1) Motion - Opposition Filed.

Correct Notice Provided. The Proof of Service states that the Motion and supporting pleadings were served on Debtor, Debtor's Attorney, and Office of the United States Trustee on April 18, 2016. By the court's calculation, 30 days' notice was provided. 28 days' notice is required.

The Motion to Dismiss has been set for hearing on the notice required by Local Bankruptcy Rule 9014-1(f)(1). The Debtor filed opposition. If it appears at the hearing that disputed material factual issues remain to be resolved, a later evidentiary hearing will be set. Local Bankr. R. 9014-1(g).

The court's decision is to grant the Motion to Dismiss and dismiss the case.

David Cusick, the Chapter 13 Trustee, filed the instant Motion to Dismiss on April 18, 2016. Dckt. 67. The Trustee seeks dismissal due to the Debtor's delinquency in plan payments.

DEBTOR'S OPPOSITION

The Debtor filed an opposition to the instant Motion on May 4, 2016. Dckt. 71. The Debtor states that he will be filing a Motion to Approve First Modified Chapter 13 Plan based on his having been approved for a loan modification. Pursuant to the modification, Debtor has directly paid trial payments of \$1,102.52 on or before March 1, 2016, April 1, 2016, and May 1, 2016. Debtor states that he will be filing a Motion to Approve the Modification and a Motion to Approve a Modified Plan.

DISCUSSION

The Trustee seeks dismissal of the case on the basis that the Debtor is \$2,404.50 delinquent in plan payments, which represents multiple months of the \$1,650.00 plan payment. Failure to make plan payments is unreasonable

delay which is prejudicial to creditors. 11 U.S.C. § 1307(c)(1).

Unfortunately, the Debtor has not provided evidence that the delinquency has been cured. Additionally, the Debtor has not filed a Motion to Approve the Modification nor a proposed plan and Motion to Confirm.

Cause exists to dismiss this case. The motion is granted and the case is dismissed.

The court shall issue a minute order substantially in the following form holding that:

Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law are stated in the Civil Minutes for the hearing.

The Motion to Dismiss the Chapter 13 case filed by the Chapter 13 Trustee having been presented to the court, and upon review of the pleadings, evidence, arguments of counsel, and good cause appearing,

IT IS ORDERED that the Motion to Dismiss is granted and the case is dismissed.

28. [15-29669](#)-E-13 TIFFANY BAILEY ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE - FAILURE
Michael O. Hays TO PAY FEES
4-20-16 [[44](#)]

Final Ruling: No appearance at the May 18, 2016 hearing is required.

The case having previously been dismissed, the Order is dismissed as moot.

The court shall issue a minute order substantially in the following form holding that:

Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law are stated in the Civil Minutes for the hearing.

The Order to Show Cause having been presented to the court, the case having been previously dismissed, and upon review of the pleadings, evidence, arguments of counsel, and good cause appearing,

IT IS ORDERED that the Order is dismissed as moot, the case having been dismissed.

29. [16-21569-E-13](#) DUSTIN EATON
DPC-2 Edward Smith

MOTION TO DISMISS CASE
5-4-16 [[19](#)]

Tentative Ruling: The Motion to Dismiss was properly set for hearing on the notice required by Local Bankruptcy Rule 9014-1(f)(2). Consequently, the Debtor, Creditors, the Trustee, the U.S. Trustee, and any other parties in interest were not required to file a written response or opposition to the motion. If any of these potential respondents appear at the hearing and offers opposition to the motion, the court will set a briefing schedule and a final hearing unless there is no need to develop the record further. If no opposition is offered at the hearing, the court will take up the merits of the motion.

Oral argument may be presented by the parties at the scheduled hearing, where the parties shall address the issues identified in this tentative ruling and such other issues as are necessary and appropriate to the court's resolution of the matter.

Below is the court's tentative ruling, rendered on the assumption that there will be no opposition to the motion. If there is opposition presented, the court will consider the opposition and whether further hearing is proper pursuant to Local Bankruptcy Rule 9014-1(f)(2)(iii).

Local Rule 9014-1(f)(2) Motion.

Correct Notice Provided. The Proof of Service states that the Motion and supporting pleadings were served on Debtor, Debtor's Attorney, and Office of the United States Trustee on May 4, 2016. By the court's calculation, 14 days' notice was provided. 14 days' notice is required.

The Motion to Dismiss was properly set for hearing on the notice required by Local Bankruptcy Rule 9014-1(f)(2). The Debtor, Creditors, the Trustee, the U.S. Trustee, and any other parties in interest were not required to file a written response or opposition to the motion. At the hearing -----
-----.

The court's decision is to grant the Motion to Dismiss and dismiss the case.

David Cusick, the Chapter 13 Trustee, filed the instant Motion to Dismiss on May 4, 2016. Dckt. 19.

The Trustee argues that the Debtor did not commence making plan payments and is \$3,775.00 delinquent in plan payments, which represents multiple months of the \$3,775.00 plan payment. 11 U.S.C. §1307(c)(4) permits the dismissal or conversion of the case for failure to commence plan payments. The Debtor presented no opposition to the Motion.

Further, the Trustee alleges that the Debtor did not appear at the Meeting of Creditors held pursuant to 11 U.S.C. § 341. Attendance is

mandatory. 11 U.S.C. § 343. Failure to appear at the Meeting of Creditors is unreasonable delay which is prejudicial to creditors and cause to dismiss the case. 11 U.S.C. § 1307(c)(1).

The Debtor has not filed a response or opposition to the instant Motion.

Cause exists to dismiss this case. The motion is granted and the case is dismissed.

The court shall issue a minute order substantially in the following form holding that:

Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law are stated in the Civil Minutes for the hearing.

The Motion to Dismiss the Chapter 13 case filed by the Chapter 13 Trustee having been presented to the court, and upon review of the pleadings, evidence, arguments of counsel, and good cause appearing,

IT IS ORDERED that the Motion to Dismiss is granted and the case is dismissed.

30. [14-30571-E-13](#) **FREDERIC LAURIDSEN AND** **MOTION TO DISMISS CASE**
DPC-2 **ANGELA MILLA-LAURIDSEN** **4-18-16 [[39](#)]**
Mikalah Liviakis

Final Ruling: No appearance at the May 18, 2016 hearing is required.

Local Rule 9014-1(f)(1) Motion - Opposition Filed.

Correct Notice Provided. The Proof of Service states that the Motion and supporting pleadings were served on Debtors, Debtor's Attorney, and Office of the United States Trustee on April 18, 2016. By the court's calculation, 32 days' notice was provided. 28 days' notice is required.

The Motion to Dismiss has been set for hearing on the notice required by Local Bankruptcy Rule 9014-1(f)(1). The Debtor filed opposition. If it appears at the hearing that disputed material factual issues remain to be resolved, a later evidentiary hearing will be set. Local Bankr. R. 9014-1(g).

The court's decision is to grant the Motion to Dismiss and dismiss the case.

David Cusick, the Chapter 13 Trustee, filed the instant Motion to Dismiss on April 18, 2106. Dckt 39.

The Trustee seeks dismissal of the case on the basis that the Debtor is \$910.00 delinquent in plan payments, which represents multiple months of the \$449.00 plan payment. Failure to make plan payments is unreasonable delay which is prejudicial to creditors. 11 U.S.C. § 1307(c)(1).

The Debtor filed a response on May 9, 2016. Dckt. 43. The Debtor states they do not oppose the Motion.

Cause exists to dismiss this case. The motion is granted and the case is dismissed.

The court shall issue a minute order substantially in the following form holding that:

Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law are stated in the Civil Minutes for the hearing.

The Motion to Dismiss the Chapter 13 case filed by the Chapter 13 Trustee having been presented to the court, and upon review of the pleadings, evidence, arguments of counsel, and good cause appearing,

IT IS ORDERED that the Motion to Dismiss is granted and the case is dismissed.

31. [13-31975-E-13](#) JACK/LINDA GANAS
DPC-1 Peter Cianchetta

MOTION TO DISMISS CASE
4-20-16 [[116](#)]

Tentative Ruling: The Motion to Dismiss has been set for hearing on the notice required by Local Bankruptcy Rule 9014-1(f)(1). The failure of the respondent and other parties in interest to file written opposition at least 14 days prior to the hearing as required by Local Bankruptcy Rule 9014-1(f)(1)(ii) is considered to be the equivalent of a statement of nonopposition. *Cf. Ghazali v. Moran*, 46 F.3d 52, 53 (9th Cir. 1995).

Oral argument may be presented by the parties at the scheduled hearing, where the parties shall address the issues identified in this tentative ruling and such other issues as are necessary and appropriate to the court's resolution of the matter.

Below is the court's tentative ruling.

Local Rule 9014-1(f)(1) Motion - Opposition Filed.

Correct Notice Provided. The Proof of Service states that the Motion and supporting pleadings were served on Debtors, Debtor's Attorney, and Office of the United States Trustee on April 20, 2016. By the court's calculation, 28 days' notice was provided. 28 days' notice is required.

The Motion to Dismiss has been set for hearing on the notice required by Local Bankruptcy Rule 9014-1(f)(1). The Debtor filed opposition. If it appears at the hearing that disputed material factual issues remain to be resolved, a later evidentiary hearing will be set. Local Bankr. R. 9014-1(g).

The court's decision is to grant the Motion to Dismiss and dismiss the case.

David Cusick, the Chapter 13 Trustee, filed the instant Motion to Dismiss on April 20, 2016. Dckt. 116. The Trustee seeks dismissal based on the Debtor's delinquency in plan payments.

DEBTOR'S REPLY

The Debtor filed a reply on May 4, 2016. Dckt. 121. The Debtor states that they will be filing a Motion to Modify plan to account for the arrearage.

DISCUSSION

The Trustee seeks dismissal of the case on the basis that the Debtor is \$4,889.90 delinquent in plan payments, which represents multiple months of the \$2,057.03 plan payment. Failure to make plan payments is unreasonable delay which is prejudicial to creditors. 11 U.S.C. § 1307(c)(1).

Unfortunately, the Debtor has not provided evidence that the delinquency has been cured. Furthermore, a review of the docket shows that the Debtor has failed to file a proposed plan and Motion to Confirm.

Cause exists to dismiss this case. The motion is granted and the case is dismissed.

The court shall issue a minute order substantially in the following form holding that:

Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law are stated in the Civil Minutes for the hearing.

The Motion to Dismiss the Chapter 13 case filed by the Chapter 13 Trustee having been presented to the court, and upon review of the pleadings, evidence, arguments of counsel, and good cause appearing,

IT IS ORDERED that the Motion to Dismiss is granted and the case is dismissed.

Tentative Ruling: The Motion to Dismiss was properly set for hearing on the notice required by Local Bankruptcy Rule 9014-1(f)(2). Consequently, the Debtor, Creditors, the Trustee, the U.S. Trustee, and any other parties in interest were not required to file a written response or opposition to the motion. If any of these potential respondents appear at the hearing and offers opposition to the motion, the court will set a briefing schedule and a final hearing unless there is no need to develop the record further. If no opposition is offered at the hearing, the court will take up the merits of the motion.

Oral argument may be presented by the parties at the scheduled hearing, where the parties shall address the issues identified in this tentative ruling and such other issues as are necessary and appropriate to the court's resolution of the matter.

Below is the court's tentative ruling, rendered on the assumption that there will be no opposition to the motion. If there is opposition presented, the court will consider the opposition and whether further hearing is proper pursuant to Local Bankruptcy Rule 9014-1(f)(2)(iii).

Local Rule 9014-1(f)(2) Motion.

Correct Notice Provided. The Proof of Service states that the Motion and supporting pleadings were served on Debtor (*pro se*), and Office of the United States Trustee on May 4, 2016. By the court's calculation, 14 days' notice was provided. 14 days' notice is required.

The Motion to Dismiss was properly set for hearing on the notice required by Local Bankruptcy Rule 9014-1(f)(2). The Debtor, Creditors, the Trustee, the U.S. Trustee, and any other parties in interest were not required to file a written response or opposition to the motion. At the hearing -----
-----.

The court's decision is to grant the Motion to Dismiss and dismiss the case.

David Cusick, the Chapter 13 Trustee, filed the instant Motion to Dismiss on May 4, 2016. Dckt. 24.

The Trustee argues that the Debtor did not commence making plan payments and is \$689.00 delinquent in plan payments, which represents multiple months of the \$689.00 plan payment. 11 U.S.C. §1307(c)(4) permits the dismissal or conversion of the case for failure to commence plan payments.

Further, the Trustee alleges that the Debtor did not appear at the Meeting of Creditors held pursuant to 11 U.S.C. § 341. Attendance is mandatory. 11 U.S.C. § 343. Failure to appear at the Meeting of Creditors is

unreasonable delay which is prejudicial to creditors and cause to dismiss the case. 11 U.S.C. § 1307(c)(1).

The Debtor has not provided the Trustee with employer payment advices for the 60-day period preceding the filing of the petition as required by 11 U.S.C. § 521(a)(1)(B)(iv). Also, the Trustee argues that the Debtor did not provide either a tax transcript or a federal income tax return with attachments for the most recent pre-petition tax year for which a return was required. See 11 U.S.C. § 521(e)(2)(A); Fed. R. Bankr. P. 4002(b)(3). This is unreasonable delay which is prejudicial to creditors. 11 U.S.C. § 1307(c)(1).

The Debtor did not respond to the instant Motion.

Cause exists to dismiss this case. The motion is granted and the case is dismissed.

The court shall issue a minute order substantially in the following form holding that:

Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law are stated in the Civil Minutes for the hearing.

The Motion to Dismiss the Chapter 13 case filed by the Chapter 13 Trustee having been presented to the court, and upon review of the pleadings, evidence, arguments of counsel, and good cause appearing,

IT IS ORDERED that the Motion to Dismiss is granted and the case is dismissed.

33. [15-20077-E-13](#) CARL/CAROLYN FORE
DPC-1 Timothy Walsh

MOTION TO DISMISS CASE
4-20-16 [[73](#)]

Final Ruling: No appearance at the May 18, 2016 hearing is required.

The Chapter 13 Trustee having filed an ex parte motion pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 41(a)(2) and Federal Rule of Bankruptcy Procedure 9014 and 7041 for the court to dismiss without prejudice the Motion to Dismiss the Bankruptcy Case, the ex parte motion being consistent with the opposition filed to the Motion, and good cause appearing, **the court dismisses without prejudice the Chapter 13 Trustee's Motion to Dismiss the Bankruptcy Case.**

The court shall issue a minute order substantially in the following form holding that:

Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law are stated in the Civil Minutes for the hearing.

A Motion to Dismiss the Bankruptcy Case having been filed by the Chapter 13 Trustee, the Chapter 13 Trustee having filed an ex parte motion to dismiss the Motion without prejudice pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 41(a)(2) and Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure 9014 and 7041, dismissal of the Motion being consistent with the opposition filed, and good cause appearing,

IT IS ORDERED that the Motion to Dismiss the Bankruptcy Case is dismissed without prejudice.

34. [15-29079-E-13](#) RUTH AUSTIN
DPC-1 Mark Wolff

MOTION TO DISMISS CASE
4-20-16 [[30](#)]

Final Ruling: No appearance at the May 18, 2016 hearing is required.

Local Rule 9014-1(f)(1) Motion - Opposition Filed.

Correct Notice Provided. The Proof of Service states that the Motion and supporting pleadings were served on Debtor, Debtor's Attorney, and Office of the United States Trustee on April 20, 2016. By the court's calculation, 28 days' notice was provided. 28 days' notice is required.

The Motion to Dismiss has been set for hearing on the notice required by Local Bankruptcy Rule 9014-1(f)(1). The Debtor filed opposition. If it appears at the hearing that disputed material factual issues remain to be resolved, a later evidentiary hearing will be set. Local Bankr. R. 9014-1(g).

The court's decision is to continue the hearing for the Motion to Dismiss to 10:00 a.m. on August 10, 2016.

David Cusick, the Chapter 13 Trustee, filed the instant Motion to Dismiss on April 20, 2016. Dckt. 30. The Trustee seeks dismissal based on the Debtor's delinquency in plan payments.

DEBTORS' OPPOSITION

The Debtor filed an opposition on May 4, 2016. Dckt. 34. The Debtor acknowledges that she is behind in payment. However, the Debtor states that money was stolen from her bank account by an unknown person in the amount of \$4,500.00. Dckt. 35.

The Debtor states she is attempting to get the bank to reimburse her the money stolen from her account and that she will be filing a Motion to Sell her real property. The Debtor asserts that through the sale of the real estate, Debtor will receive \$5,000.00 for relocation. Since Debtor is not anticipating moving from her current location until September 2016, the Debtor argues that she can use the funds received from the sale to become current.

DISCUSSION

The Trustee seeks dismissal of the case on the basis that the Debtor is \$2,000.00 delinquent in plan payments, which represents multiple months of the \$1,000.00 plan payment. Failure to make plan payments is unreasonable delay which is prejudicial to creditors. 11 U.S.C. § 1307(c)(1).

Unfortunately, the Debtor has not provided evidence that the delinquency has been cured. The Debtor provides testimony that \$4,500.00 was stolen from her bank account. However, rather than proposing a modified plan to cure the delinquency, the Debtor is requesting that the court allow the case

to continue in its delinquent state until such undisclosed time that the Debtor sells her real property.

Motion to Sell

Debtor has filed a Motion to approve the short sale of her residence. Dckt. 37. A copy of the contract to sell the property is filed as Exhibit 1 in support of the Motion to Sell. Dckt. 40. In reviewing the ALTA Settlement Statement for sell, it lists a JDK & Associates receiving a real estate commission of \$8,811,89 for the \$449,000.00 sales of the property. This is a two-percent sales commission.

| | | | |
|-----|--------------------------------|----------------|--------------------------------|
| 35. | 11-36981 -E-13 | MONICA SAECHAO | MOTION TO DISMISS CASE |
| | DPC-7 | Sally Gonzales | 4-18-16 [97] |

Final Ruling: No appearance at the May 18, 2016 hearing is required.

The Chapter 13 Trustee having filed a Withdrawal of the Motion to Dismiss the Bankruptcy Case, pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 41(a)(1)(A)(i) and Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure 9014 and 7041 **the Motion to Dismiss the Bankruptcy Case was dismissed without prejudice, and the matter is removed from the calendar.**

36. [15-24984-E-13](#) MARIE GARY
DPC-2 Eric Vandermey

MOTION TO DISMISS CASE
4-20-16 [[63](#)]

Final Ruling: No appearance at the May 18, 2016 hearing is required.

Local Rule 9014-1(f)(1) Motion - No Opposition Filed.

Correct Notice Provided. The Proof of Service states that the Motion and supporting pleadings were served on Debtor, Debtor's Attorney, and Office of the United States Trustee on April 20, 2016. By the court's calculation, 28 days' notice was provided. 28 days' notice is required.

The Motion to Dismiss has been set for hearing on the notice required by Local Bankruptcy Rule 9014-1(f)(1). The failure of the respondent and other parties in interest to file written opposition at least 14 days prior to the hearing as required by Local Bankruptcy Rule 9014-1(f)(1)(ii) is considered to be the equivalent of a statement of nonopposition. *Cf. Ghazali v. Moran*, 46 F.3d 52, 53 (9th Cir. 1995). Further, because the court will not materially alter the relief requested by the moving party, an actual hearing is unnecessary. *See Law Offices of David A. Boone v. Derham-Burk (In re Eliapo)*, 468 F.3d 592, 602 (9th Cir. 2006). Therefore, the defaults of the respondent and other parties in interest are entered. Upon review of the record there are no disputed material factual issues and the matter will be resolved without oral argument. The court will issue its ruling from the parties' pleadings.

The Motion to Dismiss is granted and the case is dismissed.

David Cusick, the Chapter 13 Trustee, filed the instant Motion to Dismiss on April 20, 2016. Dckt. 63.

The Trustee seeks dismissal of the case on the basis that the Debtor is \$3,492.00 delinquent in plan payments, which represents multiple months of the \$1,777.00 plan payment. Failure to make plan payments is unreasonable delay which is prejudicial to creditors. 11 U.S.C. § 1307(c)(1).

Unfortunately, the Debtor has not provided evidence that the delinquency has been cured. The Debtor failed to file a timely reply to the instant Motion.

Cause exists to dismiss this case. The motion is granted and the case is dismissed.

The court shall issue a minute order substantially in the following form holding that:

Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law are stated in the Civil Minutes for the hearing.

The Motion to Dismiss the Chapter 13 case filed by the Chapter 13 Trustee having been presented to the court, and

upon review of the pleadings, evidence, arguments of counsel, and good cause appearing,

IT IS ORDERED that the Motion to Dismiss is granted and the case is dismissed.

37. [15-27388-E-13](#) JOHNNY/MELISSA ROBBINS MOTION TO DISMISS CASE
DPC-2 Peter Cianchetta 4-18-16 [[51](#)]

Final Ruling: No appearance at the May 18, 2016 hearing is required.

Local Rule 9014-1(f)(1) Motion - No Opposition Filed.

Correct Notice Provided. The Proof of Service states that the Motion and supporting pleadings were served on Debtors, Debtor's Attorney, and Office of the United States Trustee on April 18, 2016. By the court's calculation, 30 days' notice was provided. 28 days' notice is required.

The Motion to Dismiss has been set for hearing on the notice required by Local Bankruptcy Rule 9014-1(f)(1). The failure of the respondent and other parties in interest to file written opposition at least 14 days prior to the hearing as required by Local Bankruptcy Rule 9014-1(f)(1)(ii) is considered to be the equivalent of a statement of nonopposition. *Cf. Ghazali v. Moran*, 46 F.3d 52, 53 (9th Cir. 1995). Further, because the court will not materially alter the relief requested by the moving party, an actual hearing is unnecessary. *See Law Offices of David A. Boone v. Derham-Burk (In re Eliapo)*, 468 F.3d 592, 602 (9th Cir. 2006). Therefore, the defaults of the respondent and other parties in interest are entered. Upon review of the record there are no disputed material factual issues and the matter will be resolved without oral argument. The court will issue its ruling from the parties' pleadings.

The Motion to Dismiss is granted and the case is dismissed.

David Cusick, the Chapter 13 Trustee, filed the instant Motion to Dismiss on April 18, 2016. Dckt. 51.

The Trustee seeks dismissal of the case on the basis that the Debtor is \$690.00 delinquent in plan payments, which represents multiple months of the \$345.00 plan payment. Failure to make plan payments is unreasonable delay which is prejudicial to creditors. 11 U.S.C. § 1307(c)(1).

Unfortunately, the Debtor has not provided evidence that the delinquency has been cured. The Debtor failed to file a timely reply to the instant Motion.

Cause exists to dismiss this case. The motion is granted and the case is dismissed.

The court shall issue a minute order substantially in the following form

holding that:

Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law are stated in the Civil Minutes for the hearing.

The Motion to Dismiss the Chapter 13 case filed by the Chapter 13 Trustee having been presented to the court, and upon review of the pleadings, evidence, arguments of counsel, and good cause appearing,

IT IS ORDERED that the Motion to Dismiss is granted and the case is dismissed.

| | | | |
|-----|-------------------------------|------------------|--------------------------------|
| 38. | 16-21089-E-13 | STEPHEN MAR | MOTION TO DISMISS CASE |
| | DPC-2 | Peter Cianchetta | 4-20-16 [23] |

WITHDRAWN BY M.P.

Final Ruling: No appearance at the May 18, 2016 hearing is required.

The Chapter 13 Trustee having filed a Withdrawal of the Motion to Dismiss the Bankruptcy Case, pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 41(a)(1)(A)(I) and Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure 9014 and 7041 **the Motion to Dismiss the Bankruptcy Case was dismissed without prejudice, and the matter is removed from the calendar.**

39. [13-21194-E-13](#) RICHARD/LINDA STROM
DPC-4 Chinonye Ugorji

MOTION TO DISMISS CASE
4-18-16 [[54](#)]

Final Ruling: No appearance at the May 18, 2016 hearing is required.

Local Rule 9014-1(f)(1) Motion - No Opposition Filed.

Correct Notice Provided. The Proof of Service states that the Motion and supporting pleadings were served on Debtors, Debtor's Attorney, and Office of the United States Trustee on April 18, 2016. By the court's calculation, 30 days' notice was provided. 28 days' notice is required.

The Motion to Dismiss has been set for hearing on the notice required by Local Bankruptcy Rule 9014-1(f)(1). The failure of the respondent and other parties in interest to file written opposition at least 14 days prior to the hearing as required by Local Bankruptcy Rule 9014-1(f)(1)(ii) is considered to be the equivalent of a statement of nonopposition. *Cf. Ghazali v. Moran*, 46 F.3d 52, 53 (9th Cir. 1995). Further, because the court will not materially alter the relief requested by the moving party, an actual hearing is unnecessary. *See Law Offices of David A. Boone v. Derham-Burk (In re Eliapo)*, 468 F.3d 592, 602 (9th Cir. 2006). Therefore, the defaults of the respondent and other parties in interest are entered. Upon review of the record there are no disputed material factual issues and the matter will be resolved without oral argument. The court will issue its ruling from the parties' pleadings.

The Motion to Dismiss is granted and the case is dismissed.

David Cusick, the Chapter 13 Trustee, filed the instant Motion to Dismiss on April 18, 2016. Dckt. 54.

The Trustee seeks dismissal of the case on the basis that the Debtor is \$3,251.56 delinquent in plan payments, which represents multiple months of the \$1,627.76 plan payment. Failure to make plan payments is unreasonable delay which is prejudicial to creditors. 11 U.S.C. § 1307(c)(1).

Unfortunately, the Debtor has not provided evidence that the delinquency has been cured. The Debtor failed to file a timely reply to the instant Motion.

Cause exists to dismiss this case. The motion is granted and the case is dismissed.

The court shall issue a minute order substantially in the following form holding that:

Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law are stated in the Civil Minutes for the hearing.

The Motion to Dismiss the Chapter 13 case filed by the Chapter 13 Trustee having been presented to the court, and

upon review of the pleadings, evidence, arguments of counsel, and good cause appearing,

IT IS ORDERED that the Motion to Dismiss is granted and the case is dismissed.

40. [13-29395-E-13](#) **FRANK/GRACE MURPHY** **MOTION TO DISMISS CASE**
DPC-2 **Paul Bains** **4-18-16 [65]**

Tentative Ruling: The Motion to Dismiss has been set for hearing on the notice required by Local Bankruptcy Rule 9014-1(f)(1). The failure of the respondent and other parties in interest to file written opposition at least 14 days prior to the hearing as required by Local Bankruptcy Rule 9014-1(f)(1)(ii) is considered to be the equivalent of a statement of nonopposition. *Cf. Ghazali v. Moran*, 46 F.3d 52, 53 (9th Cir. 1995).

Oral argument may be presented by the parties at the scheduled hearing, where the parties shall address the issues identified in this tentative ruling and such other issues as are necessary and appropriate to the court's resolution of the matter.

Below is the court's tentative ruling.

Local Rule 9014-1(f)(1) Motion - Opposition Filed.

Correct Notice Provided. The Proof of Service states that the Motion and supporting pleadings were served on Debtors, Debtor's Attorney, and Office of the United States Trustee on April 18, 2016. By the court's calculation, 30 days' notice was provided. 28 days' notice is required.

The Motion to Dismiss has been set for hearing on the notice required by Local Bankruptcy Rule 9014-1(f)(1). The Debtor filed opposition. If it appears at the hearing that disputed material factual issues remain to be resolved, a later evidentiary hearing will be set. Local Bankr. R. 9014-1(g).

The court's decision is to grant the Motion to Dismiss and dismiss the case.

David Cusick, the Chapter 13 Trustee, filed the instant Motion to Dismiss on April 18, 2016. Dckt. 65. The Trustee seeks dismissal due to the Debtor's delinquency in plan payments.

DEBTOR'S RESPONSE

The Debtors filed a response on May 4, 2016. Dckt. 69. The Debtor recognizes that they are behind in plan payments. However, the Debtors assert that because Debtor Frank Murphy's employment is in construction, there is not consistent income that comes in per month. The Debtor asserts that his monthly income is dependent on how many jobs he has that month. The Debtor asserts that they have made a partial payment and will be current by the time of the

hearing. Dckt. 72.

DISCUSSION

The Trustee seeks dismissal of the case on the basis that the Debtor is \$3,242.00 delinquent in plan payments, which represents multiple months of the \$1,091.00 plan payment. Failure to make plan payments is unreasonable delay which is prejudicial to creditors. 11 U.S.C. § 1307(c)(1).

Unfortunately, the Debtor has not provided evidence that the delinquency has been cured.

Cause exists to dismiss this case. The motion is granted and the case is dismissed.

The court shall issue a minute order substantially in the following form holding that:

Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law are stated in the Civil Minutes for the hearing.

The Motion to Dismiss the Chapter 13 case filed by the Chapter 13 Trustee having been presented to the court, and upon review of the pleadings, evidence, arguments of counsel, and good cause appearing,

IT IS ORDERED that the Motion to Dismiss is granted and the case is dismissed.