UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

Honorable Fredrick E. Clement Sacramento Federal Courthouse 501 I Street, 7th Floor Courtroom 28, Department A Sacramento, California

PRE-HEARING DISPOSITIONS

DAY: TUESDAY

DATE: MARCH 10, 2020

CALENDAR: 9:00 A.M. CHAPTERS 9 AND 11 CASES

Each matter on this calendar will have one of three possible designations: No Ruling, Tentative Ruling, or Final Ruling. These instructions apply to those designations.

No Ruling: All parties will need to appear at the hearing unless otherwise ordered.

Tentative Ruling: If a matter has been designated as a tentative ruling it will be called. The court may continue the hearing on the matter, set a briefing schedule or enter other orders appropriate for efficient and proper resolution of the matter. The original moving or objecting party shall give notice of the continued hearing date and the deadlines. The minutes of the hearing will be the court's findings and conclusions.

Final Ruling: Unless otherwise ordered, there will be no hearing on these matters. The final disposition of the matter is set forth in the ruling and it will appear in the minutes. The final ruling may or may not finally adjudicate the matter. If it is finally adjudicated, the minutes constitute the court's findings and conclusions.

Orders: Unless the court specifies in the tentative or final ruling that it will issue an order, the prevailing party shall lodge an order within 14 days of the final hearing on the matter.

1. $\frac{19-27800}{AF-2}$ -A-11 IN RE: EDUARDO/FLORINDA SAN ANTONIO

MOTION TO EMPLOY ARASTO FARSAD AS ATTORNEY(S) 2-1-2020 [24]

ARASTO FARSAD/ATTY. FOR DBT.

Final Ruling

Application: Employment of Counsel for Debtor in Possession

Notice: LBR 9014-1(f)(1); written opposition required

Disposition: Approved

Order: Prepared by applicant pursuant to instructions below

Unopposed applications are subject to the rules of default. Fed. R. Civ. P. 55, incorporated by Fed. R. Bankr. P. 7055, 9014(c). Written opposition to this motion was required not less than 14 days before the hearing on this motion. LBR 9014-1(f)(1)(B). None has been filed. The default of the responding party is entered. The court considers the record, accepting well-pleaded facts as true. TeleVideo Sys., Inc. v. Heidenthal, 826 F.2d 915, 917-18 (9th Cir. 1987).

EMPLOYMENT

Chapter 11 debtors in possession may employ counsel to advise and assist them in the discharge of their statutory duties. See 11 U.S.C. § 327(a). Employment may be authorized if the applicant neither holds nor represents an interest adverse to the estate and is disinterested. Id. §§ 101(14), 327(a). The applicant satisfies the requirements of § 327(a), and the court will approve the application.

RETROACTIVE

In a previous case, this court has set forth the standards for retroactive approval of special counsel under § 327(e) of the Bankruptcy Code and Ninth Circuit decisional law:

"The bankruptcy courts in this circuit possess the equitable power to approve retroactively a professional's valuable but unauthorized services." Atkins v. Wain, Samuel & Co. (In re Atkins), 69 F.3d 970, 973 (9th Cir.1995) (citing Halperin v. Occidental Fin. Grp. (In re Occidental Fin. Grp.), 40 F.3d 1059, 1062 (9th Cir.1994)). Nunc pro tunc approval of an attorney's unauthorized services under § 327(e) requires two distinct showings. First, a showing must be made that the applicant "does not represent or hold any interest adverse to the debtor or to the estate with respect to the matter on which such attorney is to be employed," and that the employment is "in the best interest of the estate." 11 U.S.C. § 327(e); see also Mehdipour v. Marcus & Millichap (In re Mehdipour), 202 B.R. 474, 479 (9th Cir. BAP 1996) ("Applying for nunc pro tunc approval does not alleviate the professional from meeting the requirements of § 327...."). The

attorney must continually qualify under the statutory conflict-of-interest standards throughout the entire period of representation. See 11 U.S.C. §§ 327(e), 328(c); see also Rome v. Braunstein, 19 F.3d 54, 57-58, 60 (1st Cir.1994) (holding that compensation may be disallowed if at any time a disqualifying conflict arises and recognizing the need for counsel to avoid such conflicts throughout their tenure).

Second, the applicant must show "exceptional circumstances" that justify nunc pro tunc approval. Atkins, 69 F.3d at 974; Mehdipour, 202 B.R. at 479. "To establish the presence of exceptional circumstances, professionals seeking retroactive approval must ... (1) satisfactorily explain their failure to receive prior judicial approval; and (2) demonstrate that their services benefitted the bankrupt estate in a significant manner." Atkins, 69 F.3d at 975-76; accord Occidental Fin. Grp., 40 F.3d at 1062; In re Gutterman, 239 B.R. 828, 830 (Bankr.N.D.Cal.1999)."

In re Grant, 507 B.R. 306, 309-10 (Bankr. E.D. Cal. 2014).

For the reasons discussed in the application, the court will approve the employment of special counsel. Counsel satisfies the standards of § 327(e). Further, counsel has shown exceptional circumstances that justify retroactive employment.

ORDER

The order shall contain the following provision: "Nothing contained herein shall be construed to approve any provision of any agreement between [counsel's name] and the debtor in possession for indemnification, arbitration, choice of venue, jurisdiction, jury waiver, limitation of damages, or similar provision."

2. 16-10015-A-9 IN RE: SOUTHERN INYO HEALTHCARE DISTRICT

CONTINUED STATUS CONFERENCE RE: VOLUNTARY PETITION 1-4-2016 [1]

MICHAEL DELANEY/ATTY. FOR DBT.

No Ruling

3. $\frac{16-10015}{\text{FEC}-3}$ -A-9 IN RE: SOUTHERN INYO HEALTHCARE DISTRICT

CONTINUED ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE 4-11-2019 [606]

MICHAEL DELANEY/ATTY. FOR DBT.

No Ruling

4. $\frac{16-10015}{\text{WGG}-6}$ -A-9 IN RE: SOUTHERN INYO HEALTHCARE DISTRICT

CONTINUED APPROVAL OF THIRD AMENDED DISCLOSURE STATEMENT $11-8-2019 \quad [754]$

MICHAEL DELANEY/ATTY. FOR DBT.

No Ruling

5. 19-25117-A-11 IN RE: DONNA HEISCHOBER

CONTINUED STATUS CONFERENCE RE: VOLUNTARY PETITION 8-14-2019 [1]

MIKALAH LIVIAKIS/ATTY. FOR DBT.

Final Ruling

The status conference is continued to April 14, 2020, at 9:00 a.m.

6. $\frac{17-20731}{DB-49}$ -A-11 IN RE: CS360 TOWERS, LLC

STEPHAN BROWN/ATTY. FOR DBT.

Final Ruling

The motion is granted on a final basis. Movant shall upload an appropriate order.

7. $\frac{17-20731}{DB-50}$ -A-11 IN RE: CS360 TOWERS, LLC

MOTION FOR COMPENSATION BY THE LAW OFFICE OF DOWNEY BRAND LLP FOR JAMIE P. DREHER, TRUSTEES ATTORNEY(S) 2-11-2020 [799]

STEPHAN BROWN/ATTY. FOR DBT.

Final Ruling

The motion is granted on a final basis. Movant shall upload an appropriate order.

8. 19-24759-A-11 IN RE: AK BUILDERS AND COATINGS, INC

ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE - FAILURE TO PAY FEES $2-13-2020 \quad \mbox{[} 72\,\mbox{]}$

MICHAEL NOBLE/ATTY. FOR DBT.

Final Ruling

The \$31 amendment fee having been paid, the order to show cause is discharged.