UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT

Eastern District of California

Honorable Ronald H. Sargis Bankruptcy Judge Modesto, California

March 5, 2015 at 2:30 p.m.

1. <u>11-94410</u>-E-7 SAWTANTRA/ARUNA CHOPRA 14-9033 STATUS CONFERENCE RE: COMPLAINT

12-24-14 [1]

ARTERBURN ET AL V. CHOPRA

Plaintiff's Atty: Mark Gorton Defendant's Atty: Robert M. Yaspan

Adv. Filed: 12/24/14

Answer: 1/20/15

Nature of Action:

Dischargeability - false pretenses, false representation, actual fraud

Notes:

Joint Discovery Plan filed 2/26/15 [Dckt 12] SUMMARY OF COMPLAINT

The complaint asserts that Debtor Aruna Chopra's obligation for a loans, with damages totaling in excess of \$360,000.00 is nondischargeable under 11 U.S.C. \$524(A)(2).

SUMMARY OF ANSWER

The Defendant-Debtor admits and denies specific allegations in the Complaint. The Answer also asserts sixteen affirmative defenses.

FINAL BANKRUPTCY COURT JUDGMENT

The Complaint alleges that jurisdiction for this Adversary Proceeding exists pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1334 and 157, and that this is a core proceeding pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 157(b)(2)(I). Complaint \P 5, Dckt. 1. In her answer, Defendant-Debtor admits the allegations of jurisdiction and core proceedings. Answer \P 1, Dckt. 10. To the extent that any issues in this Adversary Proceeding are "related to" matters, the parties consented on the record to this bankruptcy court entering the final orders and judgement in this Adversary Proceeding as provided in 28 U.S.C. § 157(c)(2) for all issues and claims in this Adversary Proceeding referred to the bankruptcy court.

The court shall issue a Pre-Trial Scheduling Order setting the following dates and deadlines:

- a. The Plaintiff alleges that jurisdiction for this Adversary Proceeding exists pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1334 and 157, and that this is a core proceeding pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 157(b)(2)(I). Complaint ¶ 5, Dckt. 1. In her answer, Defendant-Debtor admits the allegations of jurisdiction and core proceedings. Answer ¶ 1, Dckt. 10. To the extent that any issues in this Adversary Proceeding are "related to" matters, the parties consented on the record to this bankruptcy court entering the final orders and judgement in this Adversary Proceeding as provided in 28 U.S.C. § 157(c)(2) for all issues and claims in this Adversary Proceeding referred to the bankruptcy court.
- b. Initial Disclosures shall be made on or before March 2, 2015.
- c. Amendment to Pleadings, if any, shall be completed (including obtaining leave of the court, if necessary) on or before July 15, 2015.
- d. Written Discovery shall be served on or before July 30, 2015.
- e. Non-Expert Discovery, including hearing of all non-expert discovery motions, shall be completed on or before July 30, 2015.
- f. Experts shall designative on or before October 1, 2015.
- g. Expert Witnesses shall be disclosed on or before October 1, 2015, Expert Witness Reports, if any, shall be exchanged on or before ------ 2015, and Expert Witness discovery, including the hearing of all Expert Witness discovery motions, shall be completed on or before ------, 2015.
- h. Dispositive Motions shall be heard before December 17, 2015.
- i. The Pre-Trial Conference in this Adversary Proceeding shall be conducted at 2:30 p.m. on -----, 2016.

2. <u>14-91325</u>-E-7 JORGE SANCHEZ AND CORINA STATUS CONFERENCE RE: COMPLAINT 15-9001 ZAMORA-SORIANO 1-8-15 [1]

TURLOCK IRRIGATION DISTRICT V. SANCHEZ ET AL

Plaintiff's Atty: Ken R. Whittall-Scherfee

Defendant's Atty: unknown

Adv. Filed: 1/8/15

Answer: none

Nature of Action:

Dischargeability - fraud as fiduciary, embezzlement, larceny

Notes:

Plaintiff's Discovery Plan filed 2/25/15 [Dckt 7] **SUMMARY OF COMPLAINT**

The Complaint seeks a determination that an obligation in the asserted amount of \$39,028.23, to be trebled pursuant to state law, is determined non-dischargeable pursuant to 11 U.S.C. § 523(a)(4) for the unauthorized obtaining and use of electricity from Plaintiff.

SUMMARY OF ANSWER

No answer has been filed.