

**UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

Honorable Fredrick E. Clement
Fresno Federal Courthouse
2500 Tulare Street, 5th Floor
Courtroom 11, Department A
Fresno, California

PRE-HEARING DISPOSITIONS

DAY: WEDNESDAY
DATE: FEBRUARY 15, 2017
CALENDAR: 1:30 P.M. CHAPTER 11 AND 9 CASES

GENERAL DESIGNATIONS

Each pre-hearing disposition is prefaced by the words "Final Ruling," "Tentative Ruling" or "No Tentative Ruling." Except as indicated below, matters designated "Final Ruling" will not be called and counsel need not appear at the hearing on such matters. Matters designated "Tentative Ruling" or "No Tentative Ruling" will be called.

ORAL ARGUMENT

For matters that are called, the court may determine in its discretion whether the resolution of such matter requires oral argument. See *Morrow v. Topping*, 437 F.2d 1155, 1156-57 (9th Cir. 1971); accord LBR 9014-1(h). When the court has published a tentative ruling for a matter that is called, the court shall not accept oral argument from any attorney appearing on such matter who is unfamiliar with such tentative ruling or its grounds.

COURT'S ERRORS IN FINAL RULINGS

If a party believes that a final ruling contains an error that would, if reflected in the order or judgment, warrant a motion under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 60(a), as incorporated by Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure 9024, then the party affected by such error shall, not later than 4:00 p.m. (PST) on the day before the hearing, inform the following persons by telephone that they wish the matter either to be called or dropped from calendar, as appropriate, notwithstanding the court's ruling: (1) all other parties directly affected by the motion; and (2) Kathy Torres, Judicial Assistant to the Honorable Fredrick E. Clement, at (559) 499-5860. Absent such a timely request, a matter designated "Final Ruling" will not be called.

1. [15-10161](#)-A-11 FRESNO COUNTY
FW-12 SPORTSMEN'S CLUB
FRESNO COUNTY SPORTSMEN'S
CLUB/MV
PETER FEAR/Atty. for dbt.

OMNIBUS OBJECTION TO CLAIMS
12-30-16 [[262](#)]

Final Ruling

Objection: Objection to Claim

Notice: LBR 3007-1(b)(1); written opposition required

Disposition: Sustained

Order: Prepared by objecting party

Unopposed objections are subject to the rules of default. Fed. R. Civ. P. 55, *incorporated by* Fed. R. Bankr. P. 7055, 9014(c); LBR 9001-1(d), (n) (contested matters include objections). Written opposition to the sustaining of this objection was required not less than 14 days before the hearing on this objection. None has been filed. The default of the responding party is entered. The court considers the record, accepting well-pleaded facts as true. *TeleVideo Sys., Inc. v. Heidenthal*, 826 F.2d 915, 917-18 (9th Cir. 1987).

For the reasons stated in the objection, the court will sustain the objection. The unsecured amount of \$27,990 (a component of both claims no. 5 and 6) is a claim for future wages to which the claimants are not entitled. 11 U.S.C. § 502(b)(1). Claimants (as assignees) are not entitled to this amount because the employee-assignor, who originally had the right to such wages for his continued employment under a settlement agreement, voluntarily quit working. The claim is for wages that could have been earned during the period after the employee-assignor quit working on December 5, 2014.

In short, because the employee-assignor does not have a right to this portion of the claim under the applicable settlement agreement and contract law, the claimant-assignees also do not have a right to this portion. § 502(b)(1). The unsecured portion of both claims no. 5 and 6 will be disallowed in the amount of \$27,990.00.