1 2 3 UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT 4 EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 5 SACRAMENTO DIVISION 6 7 8 In re: 9 Case No. 07-20102-B-11COMPACT-IT, INC., 10 Docket Control No. SAC-3 Debtor(s). 11 Date: March 6, 2007 12 Time: 9:30 a.m. 13 On or after the calendar set forth above, the court issued the following ruling. The official record of the ruling is 14 appended to the minutes of the hearing. 15 Because the ruling constitutes a "reasoned explanation" of the court's decision under the E-Government Act of 2002 (the 16 "Act"), a copy of the ruling is hereby posted on the court's Internet site, www.caeb.uscourts.gov, in a text-searchable 17 format, as required by the Act. However, this posting does not constitute the official record, which is always the ruling 18 appended to the minutes of the hearing. 19 DISPOSITION AFTER ORAL ARGUMENT 2.0 This motion has been filed pursuant to LBR 9014-1(f)(1). The 21 failure of parties in interest to file timely written opposition as 22 required by this local rule may be considered consent to the granting 23 of the motion. See Ghazali v. Moran, 46 F.3d 52, 53 (9th Cir. 1995); 2.4 LBR 9014-1(f)(1). In this instance, the court issues a tentative 2.5 ruling. The motion is denied without prejudice. Debtor has not 26 27 provided adequate notice of the particular leases that its seeks to

assume. The motion simply states that debtor seeks to assume the

28

lease "described in Amended Schedule G which will be filed prior to the hearing." (Dkt. No. 13 at 1). The debtor's memorandum of points and authorities further states "If you are a customer of Compact-It, you should review your rental agreement which you have a copy of. If you have lost your copy of the rental agreement, you may contact counsel for Compact-It who will provide you with a copy at no expense to you." (Dkt. No. 16 at 2). Neither of these statements is sufficient to provide adequate notice of the which lease agreements debtor seeks to assume. Furthermore, debtor has not filed the Amended Schedule G. As a result, debtor has not given adequate notice to other parties to the lease agreements. The court's protective order sealing debtor's amended Schedule G does not relieve debtor of the requirement to give adequate notice. Mullane v. Central Hanover Bank Trust Co. et al., 339 U.S. 306, 314, 70 S.Ct. 652, 94 L.Ed. 865 (1950).

The court will issue a minute order.

2.4